

LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES

SUMMARY

Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive facultatively anaerobic rod-shaped bacterial species. A wide variety of animal species can be infected, but clinical listeriosis in animals is mainly a ruminant disease, with occasional sporadic cases in other species. The main clinical manifestations of animal listeriosis are encephalitis, septicaemia and abortion, and the disease is often associated with stored forages, usually silage, and contaminated farm environments. Post-mortem findings and histopathology depend on the clinical presentation.

Listeriosis is one of the most severe food-borne diseases of humans. The disease manifestations include septicaemia, meningitis (or meningoencephalitis) and encephalitis. In pregnant women, intrauterine or cervical infections may result in spontaneous abortion or stillbirths (maternal neonatal listeriosis), and may be preceded by influenza-like signs, including fever. *Listeria monocytogenes* has also been associated with gastroenteric manifestations with fever, and, rarely, with cutaneous or eye infections reported by veterinarians and farmers. Although the morbidity of listeriosis is relatively low, the mortality of the systemic/encephalitic disease can be very high, with values in the vicinity of 20–30%. In Europe, the hospitalisation rate is estimated at more than 95%. The elderly, pregnant women, newborns and the immunocompromised are considered to be at high risk of contracting the disease.

A number of molecular and cellular determinants of virulence have been identified for this facultative intracellular pathogen, and there is evidence of polymorphism among different strains of *L. monocytogenes* for some of these virulence determinants. This heterogeneity is correlated with the ability of the organism to cause forms of the disease. The definition of hypervirulent and hypovirulent clones for *L. monocytogenes* was established from clones that were epidemiologically associated either with food or with the human central nervous system or maternal neonatal listeriosis. For ruminants, a strong association of sequence type ST1 (obtained by multi-locus sequence typing) with rhombencephalitis has been observed, suggesting its neurotropism. Whole genome sequencing will provide more precise insights in the coming years. Therefore, all *L. monocytogenes* strains are considered to be potentially pathogenic.

Detection of the agent: A variety of conventional and rapid methods are available for the detection and identification of *L. monocytogenes* in primary production, feed and food samples, food processing environment samples and specimens from animal listeriosis. Conventional bacteriological methods remain the 'gold standard' against which other methods are validated. These methods use selective agents and enrichment procedures to reduce the number of competitive microflora and allow multiplication of *L. monocytogenes*. Development of chromogenic media and polymerase chain reaction methods has allowed more reliable detection of this microorganism. Recently, rapid identification of strains was improved with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation – time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. Immunohistochemical detection of *L. monocytogenes* antigens is a useful tool for the diagnosis of the encephalitic form of the disease.

Although not required for regulatory purposes, different levels of subtyping of *L. monocytogenes* strains are available, including serotyping by classical agglutination or molecular genotyping using polymerase chain reaction grouping, and whole-genome sequencing, which replaced pulse-field gel electrophoresis at the international level as the reference method for subtyping *L. monocytogenes*. The structure of population and phylogeny may be studied by multi-locus sequence typing. Subtyping tests have been standardised and validated at the international level by the PulseNet International Network.

Serological tests: Serological tests for the detection of antibodies have not been traditionally used for the diagnosis of listeriosis. A number of formats have been tried and they have all been found to be largely unreliable, lacking sensitivity and specificity.

Requirements for vaccines: Although experimental vaccines in laboratory animals are being explored, it has proven very difficult to develop effective vaccines against *L. monocytogenes*, which, as an intracellular microorganism, requires effector T cells for an effective immune response.

A. INTRODUCTION

A wide variety of animal species can be infected by *Listeria monocytogenes*, including mammals, birds, fish and crustaceans (Table 1), although most clinical listeriosis cases occur in ruminants; pigs rarely develop disease and birds are generally subclinical carriers of the organism (Dhama *et al.*, 2015). Greatest attention is placed on infection of dairy and beef cattle, or sheep and goats, according to the country or region. Most infections in animals are subclinical, but invasive disease can occur either sporadically or as an outbreak. In addition to the economic impact of listeriosis in ruminants and other animal species, ruminants may play a role as a source of infection for humans, primarily from consumption of contaminated animal products. Individual risk factors for ruminants are still poorly understood (Walland *et al.*, 2015). It is still poorly understood how *L. monocytogenes* circulates among animals, humans and various environments such understanding being restricted to specific *L. monocytogenes* subtypes (Walland *et al.*, 2015). Direct transmission from infected animals, especially during calving or lambing can occur but these infections are very rare (Wesley *et al.*, 2007). Animals kept in zoological or other wildlife parks have occasionally been reported with listeriosis, such as Celebese ape, bushy-tailed jirds, adult cougar and wild-caught monkeys (Czuprynski *et al.*, 2010). The relative importance of the direct zoonotic transmission of the disease is not clear, and contamination from the food-processing environment is of greater public health importance (Roberts & Wiedmann, 2003).

Table 1. Species with reported isolation of *Listeria monocytogenes*

| Mammals | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Cattle | Cats | Rabbits | Sheep | Deer |
| Guinea-pigs | Goats | Raccoons | Chinchillas | Pigs |
| Rats | Skunks | Horses | Mice | Mink |
| Dogs | Lemmings | Ferrets | Foxes | Voles |
| Moose | Humans | Monkeys | Otter | Gerbils |
| Cougar | Buffalos | Camel | Hedgehogs | |
| Birds | | | | |
| Canaries | Ducks | Owls | Chaffinches | Eagles |
| Parrots | Chickens | Geese | Partridges | Cranes |
| Hawks | Pheasants | Doves | Lorikeets | Pigeons |
| Seagulls | Turkeys | Whitegrouse | Whitethroat | Woodgrouse |
| Cockateil | Poults | Turkeys | Snowy owl | |
| Others | | | | |
| Frogs | Crustaceans | Ticks | Fish | Ants |
| Flies | Snails | | | |

The clinical manifestations of listeriosis in animals include rhombencephalitis (or in some cases more disseminated encephalitic changes), septicaemia and abortion, especially in sheep, goats and cattle. During an outbreak within a flock or herd, usually only one clinical form of listeriosis is encountered. The rhombencephalitic form is referred to as 'circling disease' because of the affected animal's tendency to circle in one direction, and it is the most common manifestation of the disease in ruminants. It is also amongst the most common causes of neurological disease in ruminants. Clinical signs include depression, anorexia, head pressing or turning of the head to one side and unilateral cranial nerve paralysis. The latter is due to involvement of cranial nerves and their nuclei within the brainstem. Abortion is usually late term (after 7 months in cattle and 12 weeks in sheep) (Hird & Genigeorgis, 1990; Walker, 1999). The septicaemic form is relatively uncommon and generally, but not invariably, occurs in the neonate. It is marked by depression, inappetence, fever and death. Bovine and ovine ophthalmitis have also been

described. Rarely, mastitis of ruminants has been associated with *L. monocytogenes* infection. Gastrointestinal infections can occasionally occur in sheep and goats (Clark *et al.*, 2004). When listeriosis occurs in pigs, the primary manifestation is septicaemia, with encephalitis reported less frequently, and abortions rarely. Although birds are usually subclinical carriers, sporadic cases of listeriosis have been reported, most frequently septicaemia and far less commonly meningoencephalitis. Avian listeriosis may be the result of a secondary infection in viral disease conditions and salmonellosis (Wesley, 2007). *Listeria monocytogenes* occasionally infects fish (Czuprynski *et al.*, 2010; Jami *et al.*, 2014). The bacterium is also present in many environmental niches, including soil, water and plants.

The post-mortem findings and histopathology in animal listeriosis depend on the clinical presentation. In the encephalitic form, the cerebrospinal fluid may be cloudy and the meningeal vessels congested. Gross lesions are generally subtle and characterised by vascular congestion and mild tan discoloration of the brainstem. On occasion, the medulla shows areas of softening (malacia) and abscessation. Characteristic histopathological changes consist of foci of intraparenchymal neutrophils and macrophages (microabscesses) in the brainstem with adjacent perivascular mononuclear cuffing. The microabscesses often affect one side more severely. More extensive malacic pathology may occur. The medulla and pons are most severely involved. In the septicaemic form, multiple foci of necrosis in the liver and, less frequently the spleen, may be noted. Aborted fetuses of ruminants show very few gross lesions, but autolysis may be present if the fetus was retained before being expelled (Low & Donachie, 1997; Walker, 1999).

The evidence indicates that animal listeriosis is frequently associated with stored forage and with the environment as the main source of contamination. In the environment, this saprophytic microorganism can live in soil, water, and decaying vegetables from which it could contaminate animal feed (Whitman *et al.*, 2020). Silage (in silos and bunkers) is the most frequent source (Fenlon *et al.*, 1996). Emphasis should therefore be placed on reducing the likelihood of the multiplication of the organism, which occurs more frequently at pH values of silage greater than 5.0, particularly where ineffective fermentation has occurred and where there is concomitant growth of moulds. Every effort should be made to produce silage of good quality, with early cutting of grass, minimal contamination with soil or faeces and ensuring optimal anaerobic fermentation, which will insure that the pH falls below 5.0; at that level, growth of *Listeria* spp. is inhibited. The best silage for feeding should be selected, especially in the case of sheep, discarding material that has obvious signs of contamination with mould. Material a few centimetres from the top, front and sides of an opened bale or bag, should also be discarded. Leftover silage should be removed (Low & Donachie, 1997). Barn equipment like bedding, water and feeding troughs can be contaminated at a higher rate than silage (Walland *et al.*, 2015).

In septicaemic/abortive listeriosis, the intestinal mucosa is the main route of entry after oral ingestion. The incubation period can be as short as 1 day. In rhombencephalitis, *L. monocytogenes* likely invades the brainstem via cranial nerves after breaching of the oral mucosa (Walland *et al.*, 2015). The pathogenesis of neurolisteriosis in ruminants is not at present entirely understood and the infectious dose has not been established (Walland *et al.*, 2015). The incubation period is significantly longer than in the septicaemic form, usually 2–3 weeks. The course of the disease is usually acute in sheep and goats, 1–4 days (Roberts & Wiedmann, 2003), although it can be more protracted in cattle. Control measures in animals were described by Dhama *et al.* (2015).

Although *L. monocytogenes* has been recognised as an animal pathogen for many years, its significant role as a food-borne human pathogen became evident only in the 1980s, when a documented report of a Canadian listeriosis outbreak, traced to contaminated coleslaw, was published (Schlech *et al.*, 1983). Data from this outbreak and the level of the contaminated coleslaw were used several years later to establish the microbiological criteria at 100 colony-forming units/g as the *Codex Alimentarius* level. Today, *L. monocytogenes* is considered to be one of the most important agents of food-borne disease (de Noordhout *et al.*, 2014). More than 110 outbreaks worldwide have been reported in the literature, including the largest one in South Africa in 2018. Although outbreaks have been reported from several countries, the majority of human cases are sporadic and represent a real challenge to controlling them definitively. Possible explanations for the emergence of human food-borne listeriosis as a major public health concern include major changes in agricultural methods and animal husbandry, food production, processing and distribution, increased use of refrigeration as a primary preservation means for foods, changes in human eating habits, particularly towards convenience and ready-to-eat foods, and an increase in the number of people considered to be at high risk for the disease (elderly, pregnant women, newborns, immunocompromised) (Buchanan *et al.*, 2016). If *L. monocytogenes* has been reported in several countries, its incidence depends on eating habits, cooking practices, use of refrigeration and food importation.

The invasive forms of listeriosis in humans include septicaemia, meningitis (or meningoencephalitis), and encephalitis (rhombencephalitis) (Charlier *et al.*, 2017). Gastroenteric manifestations with fever also occur. Although the morbidity of listeriosis is relatively low, the mortality can reach values between 20 and 30%. In Europe, the hospitalisation rate is estimated at more than 95%. In pregnant women, infection may result in abortion, stillbirth or premature birth and may be preceded by influenza-like signs including fever (Charlier *et al.*, 2017).

Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive facultatively anaerobic rod and is responsible for almost all *Listeria* infections in humans; although rare cases of infection caused by *L. ivanovii* have been reported (Charlier *et al.*,

2017). In animals, *L. monocytogenes* is responsible for the majority of infections, but *L. ivanovii* (abortion in ungulates such as cattle and sheep), *L. innocua* (encephalitis in sheep) and *L. seeligeri* infections have also been recorded. *Listeria ivanovii* has been associated with abortions and has been reported very occasionally to cause meningoencephalitis in sheep (Table 2). Although *L. monocytogenes* has definite zoonotic potential, it is also one of the main environmental contaminants of public health significance. The most feasible and practical means to reduce the risk of listeriosis in humans is through dietary and food preparation measures, including hazard analysis critical control points (HACCP).

Table 2. Virulence of *Listeria* species

| <i>Listeria</i> species | Virulence in humans | Virulence in animals |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Listeria sensu stricto:</i> | | |
| <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | + | + |
| <i>L. ivanovii</i> subsp. <i>ivanovii</i> | – ^a | + |
| <i>L. ivanovii</i> subsp. <i>londoniensis</i> | – | + |
| <i>L. innocua</i> | – ^b | – |
| <i>L. welshimeri</i> | – ^b | – |
| <i>L. seeligeri</i> | – ^b | + |
| <i>L. grayi</i> subsp. <i>grayi</i> | – ^b | – |
| <i>L. grayi</i> subsp. <i>murrayi</i> | – ^b | – |
| <i>Listeria sensu lato:</i> | | |
| <i>L. aquatica</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. booriae</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. cornellensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. costaricensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. fleischmannii</i> subsp. <i>coloradensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. fleischmannii</i> subsp. <i>fleischmannii</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. floridensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. goaensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. grandensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. marthii</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. newyorkensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. riparia</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. rocourtiae</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. thailandensis</i> | – | – |
| <i>L. weihenstephanensis</i> | – | – |

a: only 11 human cases of infection reported; b: only 1 human cases of infection reported.

Listeria monocytogenes can infect humans. Laboratory manipulations should be carried out at an appropriate biosafety and containment level determined by risk analysis as described in Chapter 1.1.4 *Biosafety and biosecurity: Standard for managing biological risk in the veterinary laboratory and animal facilities*.

B. DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES

Table 3. Test methods available and their purpose

| Method | Purpose | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Population freedom from infection | Individual animal freedom from infection prior to movement | Contribute to eradication policies | Confirmation of clinical cases | Prevalence of infection – surveillance | Immune status in individual animals or populations post-vaccination |
| Detection of the agent | | | | | | |
| Bacterial isolation and identification | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | – |
| PCR methods | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | – |
| Chromogenic isolation and identification media | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | – |
| Detection of immune response | | | | | | |
| Serology | – | + | – | + | + | – |

Key: +++ = recommended for this purpose; ++ recommended but has limitations; + = suitable in very limited circumstances; – = not appropriate for this purpose.
PCR = polymerase chain reaction.

1. Detection and characterisation of the agent

There is a variety of conventional and rapid methods currently available for the detection and identification of *L. monocytogenes* in samples from the food chain (primary production samples, feed, food samples, and environmental samples) and specimens from animal listeriosis. As low levels of *L. monocytogenes* could be difficult to detect, methods could also target *Listeria* spp. that have been used as bioindicators of a higher risk of the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in food and plant environmental samples. For animals and humans, conventional bacteriological methods are important for various reasons: their use results in a pure culture of the organism, which is useful for regulatory, epidemiological surveillance and outbreak management purposes. They remain the 'gold standards' against which other methods are compared and validated. These methods are usually very sensitive and they do not require sophisticated and expensive equipment, allowing widespread use. Some of the disadvantages of this group of methods include the relatively long period of time that the protocols require for completion, several 'hands-on' manipulations, the requirement for many different chemicals, reagents and media, the possibility of contaminating microorganisms in the sample masking the presence of the target ones, including overgrowth, the potential overlook of atypical variants of the target organism and the relative subjectivity involved when interpreting typicality of colony on selective and differential agar plates (Jadhav *et al.*, 2012).

The isolation and identification of *L. monocytogenes* from samples from the food chain and specimens from animal listeriosis require the use of selective agents and enrichment procedures that keep the levels of competing microorganisms to reasonable numbers and allow for the multiplication of *L. monocytogenes* to levels that are enough for detection of the organism. In the early days of listerial clinical bacteriology, cold enrichment (Dhama *et al.*, 2015) was regularly used to this end, exploiting the ability of the organism to multiply at refrigeration temperatures (around 4°C), whereas contaminating bacteria would not multiply under these conditions. This cold enrichment or a period of freezing the sample ($\leq -15^{\circ}\text{C}$, 15 days), can always be used for detection of *L. monocytogenes* in faeces of human or zoo animals. A number of selective compounds that allow growth of *L. monocytogenes* at classical incubation temperatures have been incorporated into culture media, shortening the time required for selective growth of the organism. Examples of these selective compounds include cycloheximide, colistin, cefotetan, fosfomycin, lithium chloride, nalidixic acid, acriflavine, phenylethanol, ceftazidime, polymixin B and moxalactam. Development of chromogenic media has allowed better isolation of this microorganism in samples from the food chain. When testing the presence of *L. monocytogenes* from primary production samples (faeces, environment, etc.) that contain huge amounts of competitor micro-organisms (including non-targeted species of *Listeria* genus, not differentiated on non-chromogenic isolation agar), the use of these chromogenic isolation media is crucial to avoid a strong underestimation of the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes*.

Bacteriological diagnosis of animal listeriosis has traditionally involved direct plating of specimens on blood agar or other enriched media and concomitant use of the 'cold enrichment' technique, with weekly subculturing for up to 12 weeks (Dhama *et al.*, 2015; Walker, 1999). Immunohistochemical detection of *L. monocytogenes* antigens in formalin-fixed tissue has proven to be more sensitive than direct plating and cold enrichment bacterial culture for the diagnosis of the encephalitic form of the disease in ruminants (Campero *et al.*, 2002; Johnson *et al.*, 1995). This is also the case for diagnosis of rhomboencephalitis in humans. Nevertheless, in contrast to human medicine, in animals it is very difficult or not possible to isolate the microorganism from the cerebrospinal fluid or to identify the microorganism by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in the cerebrospinal fluid. At present, therefore, confirmative diagnosis of listeric rhomboencephalitis in the living animal is not possible and is only achieved post-mortem by finding characteristic histopathological lesions or immunohistochemistry, bacterial isolation from the brainstem, or PCR on the brainstem.

In spite of advances made in the selective isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from samples from the food chain, there is still room for improvement in a number of areas. No single procedure can be credited with being sensitive enough to detect *L. monocytogenes* from all types of food (Jadhav *et al.*, 2012). In addition, sublethally injured *L. monocytogenes* cells can be found in processed food resulting from freezing, heating, acidification and other types of chemical or physical treatment. These sublethally injured and viable but not cultivable bacteria require special culture conditions for damage repair, before being able to be detected in culture.

The introduction of alternative enrichment procedures and selective agents for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from food and environmental samples has opened up the possibility of using some of these techniques for the bacteriological analysis of samples from animal listeriosis. Nevertheless, it should be stressed that performance characteristics cannot be ensured when these last methods are used outside the scope of their validation.

1.1. Bacterial isolation methods

Conventional methods for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from samples from the food chain that have gained acceptance for international regulatory purposes include the European Committee for standardization (CEN, EN) and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) (ISO, 2017a; 2017b); the Nordic Committee on Food Analysis (NMKL) method; the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) method; and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) method.

The EN ISO, FDA and USDA methods should be used according to their respective scope and cover a large variety of food and environmental matrices. Food samples intended for analysis must be representative from the food, including the outer surface and the internal part. The conventional culture methods include an enrichment procedure based on the use of liquid culture media containing selective agents. The various Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC)¹-certified methods call for different selective enrichment schemes containing different selective agents, and optimised for different enrichment duration and temperatures.

The ISO Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, Agricultural Food Products, Subcommittee SC 9, Microbiology, in agreement with the CEN Technical committee CEN/TC 463, Microbiology of the food chain, state that the (EN) ISO Standard 11290, parts 1 and 2, internationally validated by interlaboratory studies, can be used for the detection of *L. monocytogenes* or *Listeria* spp. in a large variety of samples from the food chain: food and feed products but also samples from primary production (breeding) and food processing environment. Although they recognise that this standard might not be appropriate in every detail in certain very specific instances, they recommend that every effort should be made to apply this horizontal method as far as possible.

The principle of the ISO 11290 Part 1 method version 2017² for the detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Listeria* spp., covering all food chain and primary production samples, is outlined below. Briefly, the first step is a selective primary enrichment in half-Fraser broth, which is incubated at 30±1°C for 25±1 hours. The second step is an enrichment in Fraser broth with a culture suspension obtained in the first step, and this enrichment is incubated at 37±1°C for 24±2 hours, possibly for 24 hours more, to detect *Listeria* spp. other than *L. monocytogenes*. After incubation, samples from the cultures obtained in the first (half-Fraser broth) and second (Fraser broth) steps are streaked on selective solid *Listeria* agar according to Ottaviani and Agosti, which contains lithium chloride, nalidixic acid, ceftazidime, polymyxin B and amphotericin B (or cycloheximide), and also any other solid selective medium at the choice of the laboratory, such as Oxford or PALCAM (polymixin-acriflavine-lithium chloride-ceftazidime-esculin-

1 AOAC International (2019). Official methods of analysis. Chapter 17: *Listeria*. AOAC, Gaithersburg, MD, USA. <http://www.eoma.aoc.org/>

2 ISO (2017). Microbiology of the food chain – Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* and of *Listeria* spp. – Part 1: Detection method. International Standard ISO 11290–1, Geneva, Switzerland.

mannitol agar). Inoculated selective solid *Listeria* agar according to Ottaviani and Agosti is incubated at 37±1°C and examined after 24±2 hours to check for the presence of presumptive colonies of *L. monocytogenes* (incubate an additional 24±2 hours at 37±1°C in the absence of typical colonies). Presumptive colonies of *L. monocytogenes* on *Listeria* agar according to Ottaviani and Agosti are green-blue surrounded by an opaque halo. Oxford agar contains lithium chloride, cycloheximide, colistin, acriflavine, cefotetan and fosfomycin as selective agents, and presumptive colonies of *Listeria* spp. are small, black and surrounded by a black halo. Incubate the second selective medium at the appropriate temperature and examine after the appropriate time according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subculture the presumptive *L. monocytogenes* or *Listeria* spp. on a non-selective medium and confirm by means of appropriate morphological, physiological and biochemical tests described in the standard. For the enumeration method described in ISO 11290 Part 2 version 2017³, only *Listeria* agar according to Ottaviani and Agosti shall be used.

There are two general groups of chromogenic media for *Listeria*. The first group of media employs a chromogen that detects β-D-glucosidase activity, which is indicative of *Listeria* species, and the formation of a distinct halo, indicative of the organism's lecithin use, surrounding the colony is used to identify *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii*. Media in this group include *Listeria* agar according to Ottaviani and Agosti. In the second group, a chromogenic substrate is used to detect phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) activity (Jinneman *et al.*, 2003). With this group of agars, *L. monocytogenes* and some *L. ivanovii* cleave the chromogen and the remaining *Listeria* species remain white. In some media of this last group, sugar as xylose has been added to the media to distinguish between *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* by the presence of a yellow halo surrounding the *L. ivanovii* colonies. *Listeria monocytogenes* develops blue colonies (PI-PLC positive) without a yellow halo (xylose negative) and *L. ivanovii* produces greenish-blue colonies (PI-PLC positive) with a yellow halo (xylose positive). Other *Listeria* spp. colonies are white (PI-PLC negative). No xylose and PI-PLC negative *L. monocytogenes* has been reported. Some *L. ivanovii* strains from sheep milk with a slow xylose activity could be difficult to differentiate from *L. monocytogenes* on some chromogenic media for *Listeria*.

For the FDA method described in chapter 10 (version 2017)⁴ of Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM), which can be accessed online, the buffered *Listeria* enrichment broth (BLEB) is the base enrichment. The Tryptone soya broth with yeast extract base has been supplemented with monopotassium phosphate to improve the buffering capacity, and pyruvic acid is added to aid in the recovery of stressed or injured cells. Analytical portions are pre-enriched in BLEB for 4 hours at 30°C, selective agents, acriflavin HCl (10 mg/litre), nalidixic acid (40 mg/litre) and cyclohexamide (50 mg/litre) are added and the enrichment is continued at 30°C for 48 hours. Enriched samples are streaked at 24 and 48 hours to one esculin-based selective/differential agar plate and one chromogenic selective agar plate. The esculin-based agar plates contain esculin and ferric iron such as Oxford or a modification, MOX agar (MOX), or lithium chloride/phenylethanol/moxalactam (LPM) supplemented with Fe³⁺. Presumptive *L. monocytogenes* are subcultured and confirmed by means of appropriate morphological, physiological, biochemical tests, and real-time PCR described in the method⁵.

The USDA-FSIS method (version 2019)⁶ uses two enrichment steps: the 'primary' enrichment is done in University of Vermont medium (UVM) containing nalidixic acid and acriflavine, and the 'secondary' enrichment is carried out in Fraser broth, containing nalidixic acid, lithium chloride and acriflavine or morpholine-propanesulfonic acid-buffered *Listeria* enrichment broth (MOPS-BLEB). Incubation conditions are described in this method and distinct depending on the matrix chosen for the enrichment step. After selective enrichment, cultures are then plated on MOX agar that contains lithium chloride, colistin and moxalactam. Presumptive *L. monocytogenes* are subcultured and confirmed by means of appropriate morphological, physiological and biochemical tests described in the method.

For the NMKL 136 method (version 2007)⁷, primary enrichment in half-Fraser broth at 30°C for 24 hours, is followed by a secondary enrichment in Fraser broth at 37°C for 48 hours. The cultures obtained from

- 3 ISO (2017). Microbiology of the food chain – Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* and of *Listeria* spp. – Part 2: Enumeration method. International Standard ISO 11290–2, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 4 FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (2017). Chapter 10: Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods and environmental samples, and Enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods. In: Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM). Hitchins A.D., Jinneman K. & Chen Y. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/bam-detection-and-enumeration-listeria-monocytogenes>
- 5 FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (2018). BAM Protocol: Simultaneous confirmation of *Listeria* species and *L. monocytogenes* isolates by real-time PCR. In: Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM), Available online: <http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm279532.htm>
- 6 USDA-FSIS (2019). Isolation and Identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* from Red Meat, Poultry, Egg and Environmental Samples. In: Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook, MBLG 8.11 pp 1–18, Available online: <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/1710bee8-76b9-4e6c-92fc-fdc290dbfa92/MLG-8.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>
- 7 NMKL (2007). Method no. 136, Fourth Edition, *Listeria monocytogenes*. Detection in foods and feeding stuffs and enumeration in foods. NMKL, Secretary General, c/o Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Oslo, Norway

both the enrichment steps are plated out on a *L. monocytogenes*-specific isolation medium, agar *Listeria* according to Ottaviani and Agosti or *Listeria monocytogenes* blood agar medium (LMBA) or chromogenic *Listeria* agar medium, which is basically like agar *Listeria* according to Ottaviani and Agosti, and on another solid selective isolation medium; the latter is optional. Subculture the presumptive *L. monocytogenes* and confirm by means of appropriate morphological, physiological and biochemical tests described in the standard.

All culture media prepared should be subjected to quality control, such as according to ISO 11133 standards for the preparation, production, storage and performance testing of culture media.

The original and traditional procedure for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from animal tissues has been direct plating of specimens on sheep blood agar or other rich culture media and concomitant use of the 'cold enrichment' technique, with weekly subculturing for up to 12 weeks (Dhama *et al.*, 2015; Walker, 1999). The cold enrichment technique is not currently performed. Isolation of the organism by direct plating is relatively easy when numbers are large in a normally sterile site, such as in the case of the septicaemic form of the disease, but isolation is difficult when the organism is present in low numbers, as in the case of the encephalitic form or when samples are heavily contaminated.

For sampling and preparation of samples taken at the primary production stage in the aim of detection of *L. monocytogenes* or *Listeria* spp., ISO standards 13307 (Primary production Stage – Sampling techniques) and 6687-6 (Specific rules for the preparation of samples taken at the primary production stage) should be used.

In the case of animal listeriosis, the samples should be chosen according to the clinical presentation of the disease: material from lesions in the liver, kidneys or spleen, in the case of the septicaemic form; spinal fluid, pons and medulla in the case of the rhombencephalitic form; and placenta (cotyledons), fetal abomasal contents or uterine discharges in the case of abortion. Refrigeration temperatures (4°C) must be used for handling, storing and shipping specimens. If the sample is already frozen, it should be kept frozen until analysis.

The protocol recommended for isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from animal necropsy material is described below as originally published (Eld *et al.*, 1993).

1.1.1. Isolation procedure from animal necropsy material

- i) Inoculate 10–25 g or ml of sample (depending on the amount of sample available) into 225 ml *Listeria* enrichment broth. When dealing with samples from animal listeriosis, the size of the sample for inoculation may be limited and less than that recommended for food samples (25 g or ml). If that is the case, as much sample material as possible (aim at 10–25 g or ml) should be inoculated (Eld *et al.*, 1993). (*Listeria* enrichment broth base: 30 g Oxoid tryptone soya broth; 6 g Difco yeast extract; 1 litre water; selective agents: 2.3 mg Acriflavine; 9.2 mg nalidixic acid; 11.5 mg cycloheximide; add selective agents to 225 ml of the broth base.)
- ii) Incubate broth at 30°C for 48 hours.
- iii) Spread 0.1 ml of the enrichment broth culture onto Oxford agar plates.
- iv) Incubate plates at 37°C. Examine bacterial growth after 24 and 48 hours.
- v) Test five colonies (or all when fewer available) with typical appearance of *L. monocytogenes* for cell shape, Gram reaction, haemolytic activity on blood agar (defibrinated horse blood), tumbling motility at 20°C, fermentation of glucose (+), rhamnose (+) and xylose (–), hydrolysis of esculin and production of catalase.

1.1.2. Alternative protocol

Alternative protocols exist at the national level for veterinary laboratories; here is one example:

- i) Check that the sample has not been contaminated by the environment. If there is a doubt, sterilise with a Bunsen burner or cauterise with a brand, for example in the case of brain sample contaminated during extraction from skull. The test portion is homogenised in buffered-peptone water with a crusher to give a consistent initial suspension. Any sample that has not yet been crushed is stored at 2–8°C.
- ii) The initial suspension is inoculated in enrichment broth such as brain–heart broth or Rosenow broth. In parallel, it is spread, for direct observation, on modified Palcam and a Columbia sheep blood agar with nalidixic acid (15 mg/litre) and colistine sulphate (10 mg/litre), if it is presumed that the sample is not contaminated. The Palcam base is

modified as follows: a supplement (containing 100,000 International Units of Polymyxin B sulphate, 20 mg ceftazidim, 5 mg acriflavin chlorhydrate, 200 mg of cycloheximide, and 10 ml of sterile water) is prepared, sterilised by filtration and 10 ml is added to 1000 ml of Palcam base medium.

- iii) Incubate at $37\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours for liquid culture and 24–48 hours for solid media.
- iv) After 24 hours, if colonies presumed to be *Listeria* appear on the Petri plates, select them for further confirmation tests. If none is present, incubate the plates again in the same conditions for 24 hours. Enrichment broth is streaked on Palcam and Columbia sheep blood agar with nalidixic acid (15 mg/litre) and colistine sulphate (10 mg/litre), and incubated at $37\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours. On Palcam and modified Palcam, expose the plates in the air for 1 hour to allow the medium to regain its pink to purple colour. After 24 hours, *Listeria* spp. grow on these last media as small or very small greyish green or olive green colonies, 1.5–2 mm in diameter, sometimes with black centres, but always with black halos. After 48 hours, *Listeria* spp. appear in the form of green colonies about 1.5–2 mm in diameter, with a central depression and surrounded by a black halo. On Columbia sheep blood agar with nalidixic acid and colistine sulphate, *Listeria* spp. grow as grey and flat colonies and *L. monocytogenes* presents a small haemolysis zone that could be observed after removing the colony. *Listeria ivanovii* presents a weak haemolytic activity around the colony.
- v) At 48 hours and 72 hours, if colonies presumed to be *Listeria* appear on Petri plates, select them for further confirmation tests. If there are five presumed *Listeria* colonies on the plate, select them all. If more than five presumed *Listeria* colonies are on the plate, pick five colonies only.

For faeces and silage, and placental envelop, there are two modifications to this last protocol.

For faeces and silage, a 1/10 suspension (25 g in 225 ml) is performed in half-Fraser broth and incubated at $30\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours. At 24 hours, this suspension is streaked on modified Palcam and a subculture in Fraser broth at 0.1 ml in 10 ml is performed. Media are incubated at $37\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours. At 48 hours, this incubated Fraser broth is streaked on modified Palcam and Petri plates are incubated at $37\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24–48 hours. Fraser broth is re-incubated at $37\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours before to be streaked on modified Palcam.

For placental envelop, the test portion is diluted at 1/2 and 1/5 in buffered-peptone water and directly isolated on selective media. The Palcam is replaced, in this case, by modified Palcam.

Agar *Listeria* according to Ottaviani and Agosti, and other chromogenic media for *Listeria* allow the growth of most *Listeria* spp. and are to be used in clinical microbiology to screen human or animal faeces (Dhama *et al.*, 2015; Jadhav *et al.*, 2012).

1.2. Culture-based identification methods

Typical *Listeria* spp. colonies, on the above selective/differential agar plates or preferably after subculture to a non-selective medium, are then selected for further identification to the species level, using a battery of tests. The tests include the Gram-staining reaction, catalase, motility (both in a wet mount observed under phase-contrast microscopy and by inoculation into semi-solid motility agar [0.2–0.4% agar] or U/Graigie's tube), haemolysis and carbohydrate use (Tables 3 and 4).

To observe of tumbling motility, a hanging drop preparation is made from a young broth culture, such as tryptone soya yeast extract broth, and incubated at room temperature for 8–24 hours. When semi-solid motility agar is used after stab inoculation (about 1 cm) and incubation at $20\text{--}28^\circ\text{C}$, listeriae swarm through the medium, which becomes cloudy. At about 0.5 cm below the surface of the agar, a characteristic layer of increased growth is observed, like an umbrella. This occurs because of the better development of *Listeria* under aerobic conditions as opposed to strictly anaerobic conditions.

For haemolysing activity, horse and sheep blood-containing agar plates shall be used. After incubation at 37°C for 24 hours and inoculation by stabbing the medium, *L. ivanovii* exhibits a wide zone of haemolysis. The haemolysis zone of *L. monocytogenes* is narrow, frequently not extending much beyond the edge of colonies. In this case, removal of the colonies could help interpretation. Rare strains of *L. monocytogenes* are not haemolytic and rare strains of *L. innocua* are haemolytic. The hybrid sub-lineage of the major lineage II (HSL-II) of *L. monocytogenes* exhibit a wide zone of haemolysis.

The Christie–Atkins–Munch–Peterson (CAMP) test is a very useful tool to help identify the species of a *Listeria* spp. isolate. It is required in the ISO standards and some AOAC protocols and it is considered to be optional in the FDA and USDA-FSIS methods. The test is simple to perform and easy to read. It

consists of streaking a β -haemolytic *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC™ strain 49444® or 25923®, NCTC™ strain 7428® or 1803®) and *Rhodococcus equi* (ATCC™ strain 6939®, NCTC™ strain 1621®) in single straight lines in parallel, on a sheep blood agar plate or a double-layered agar plate with a very thin blood agar overlay. The streaks should have enough separation to allow test and control *Listeria* strains to be streaked perpendicularly, in between the two indicator organisms, without quite touching them (separated by 1–2 mm). After incubation for 24–48 hours at 35–37°C (12–18 hours if using the thin blood agar overlay), a positive reaction consists of an enhanced zone of β -haemolysis, at the intersection of the test/control and indicator strains. *Listeria monocytogenes* is positive with the *S. aureus* streak and negative with *R. equi*, whereas the test with *L. ivanovii* gives the reverse reactions (Jadhav *et al.*, 2012). HSL-II *L. monocytogenes* is positive with both *S. aureus* and *R. equi* streak.

Within the genus *Listeria*, twenty species have been taxonomically described: *Listeria sensu stricto*, comprising the species *Listeria monocytogenes*, *L. innocua*, *L. welshimeri*, *L. seeligeri*, *L. ivanovii* and *L. marthii*, and (ii) *Listeria sensu lato*, comprising the species *L. grayi*, *L. rocourtiae*, *L. fleischmannii*, *L. weihenstephanensis*, *L. floridensis*, *L. aquatica*, *L. cornellensis*, *L. riparia*, *L. grandensis*, *L. booriae*, *L. newyorkensis*, *L. costaricensis*, *L. goaensis* and *L. thailandensis* (Orsi & Wiedmann, 2016). New species (*L. rocourtiae*, *L. marthii*, *L. weihenstephanensis*, *L. fleischmannii* subsp. *fleischmannii* and subsp. *coloradensis*, *L. newyorkensis*) are mostly isolated from environmental samples and are rare. *Listeria fleischmannii* could be isolated in primary production samples from farms and soil from plants or cellars.

Table 4. Principal characteristics of the *Listeria* species *sensu stricto*

| Test | <i>Listeria</i> spp. reaction |
|---------------------|--|
| Gram stain | Positive |
| Cell morphology | Short (0.4-0.5 μm \times 0.5-2.0 μm) nonspore forming rod with or without a few peritrichous flagella |
| Growth conditions | Aerobic and facultative anaerobic |
| Motility | Positive tumbling motility or in umbrella in motility agar at 20–28°C, negative at 37°C |
| Catalase | Positive |
| Oxidase | Negative |
| Aesculin hydrolysis | Positive |
| Indole | Negative |
| Urease | Negative |

Table 5. Differentiation of *Listeria* species *sensu stricto*

| Species | β -haemolysis | Production of acid from | | | Christie, Atkins, Munch-Petersen (CAMP) reaction on sheep blood with | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|--|----------------|
| | | L-Rhamnose | D-Xylose | D-Mannitol | <i>S. aureus</i> | <i>R. equi</i> |
| <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | + | +++ | – | – | + | –*** |
| <i>L. innocua</i> | –**** | V | – | – | – | – |
| <i>L. ivanovii</i> subsp. <i>ivanovii</i> | + | – | + | – | – | + |
| <i>L. ivanovii</i> subsp. <i>londoniensis</i> | + | – | + | – | – | + |
| <i>L. seeligeri</i> | (+) | – | + | – | (+) | – |
| <i>L. welshimeri</i> | – | V | + | – | – | – |
| <i>L. grayi</i> subsp. <i>grayi</i> | – | – | – | + | – | – |
| <i>L. grayi</i> subsp. <i>murrayi</i> | – | + | – | + | – | – |

V: variable; (+): weak reaction; +: >90% positive reactions; –: no reaction.

*Rare strains of *L. monocytogenes* are not haemolytic;

**HSL-II *L. monocytogenes* strains associated with ovine listeriosis are rhamnose negative; some lineage III strains of *L. monocytogenes*, which are primarily associated with animal listeriosis, are rhamnose negative;

***Rare strains are S+ and R+. The R+ reaction is less pronounced than that of *L. ivanovii*;

****rare strains of *L. innocua* are haemolytic.

1.3. Rapid identification methods

The following protocols include conventional and nonconventional commercially available tests, and nucleic acid assay kits, to help in the identification of *L. monocytogenes* (Valimaa *et al.*, 2015). PCR, targeting the *hly* gene, has been found to be a sensitive and rapid technique for confirmation of the identification of suspect *L. monocytogenes* isolated on selective/differential agar plates (Dhama *et al.*, 2015; Jadhav *et al.*, 2012).

Alternative commercially available methods for identification have been validated by one or more recognised formal validation systems, such as AOAC, MicroVal, Nordval International and Afnor Certification. A new standard ISO 16140-6 was published in 2019 for the validation of alternative methods for microbiological confirmation and typing procedures in the Microbiology of the food chain. The list is growing steadily as new technologies are exploited for application to the needs of laboratories. Regular updates of these alternative methods are published online on the websites of validation/certification bodies, together with key references and scope, validation status and certification of the method. For the validation of these methods, sets of strains of *L. monocytogenes* that reflect the diversity of this bacteria (<https://foodsafety.foodscience.cornell.edu/research-and-publications/ilsi-collection/>) has to be used but an additional set to reflect the diversity for animal origin needs to be established and also used.

In addition to the chromogenic isolation media, chromogenic confirmation media or broth for identification of *L. monocytogenes* have been developed. They are mostly based on detection of PI-PLC activity and fermentation of L-rhamnose. A presumed *L. monocytogenes* colony is selected and spread on a form of band (2 cm). *Listeria monocytogenes* shows a PI-PLC activity and a yellow zone of L-rhamnose fermentation. Rare strains of *L. monocytogenes* are rhamnose negative.

A system is commercially available for the presumptive identification of *Listeria* species isolated from samples from the food chain. It provides an alternative to conventional biochemical testing of *Listeria* spp. isolates by the reference methods. It is based on testing miniaturised microtubes on a strip or a card that give reactions by fermentation, utilisation or enzymatic activity, which can be detected after 24 hours at 37°C. For biochemical identification, differentiation of *Listeria* species is based on a code derived after adding the numerical values for each group of several tests and, an additional test such as the reactions obtained from the CAMP test and haemolysis characteristics, which are assayed separately. A commercial method based on the presence or absence of arylamidase, distinguishes between *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* without the need for further tests for haemolytic activity.

Identification can be done by sequencing the 16S rDNA or *iap* genes (Dhama *et al.*, 2015; Jadhav *et al.*, 2012). After extraction of DNA with commercial kits, an end point PCR for 16S rDNA or *iap* genes is performed. PCR products are purified and sequenced with a sequencer in the laboratory. The sequence is compared with DNA database accessible via the internet using blast. Recently, a real-time PCR assay for the identification of isolates has been added to the online BAM manual of FDA methods <http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm279532.htm>

Identification can be also done by whole genome sequencing of the strain and determination of the species of this strain by comparison of its genomic sequence with other reference genomics sequences of each Type strains of *Listeria* species by the use of the average nucleotide identity based on BLAST (Basic Local Assignment Search Tool), called ANIb. Several tools are freely available on the internet. This identification at genus and/or species level is highly accurate at a taxonomical level.

An alternative method for the rapid identification of *Listeria* species is the matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation–time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), which is increasingly being used worldwide in microbiology laboratories. MALDI-TOF MS identification systems are based on the comparison of the tested isolate mass spectrum for proteins, and also for lipids, with reference databases. Several databases and identification strategies have been developed. For *Listeria* isolates, the genus and species could be accurately and rapidly identified with a validation of one MALDI-TOF MS for *Listeria* system by the WHO⁸ Collaborating Centre (Thouvenot *et al.*, 2018) and AOAC: First Action 2017.10.

1.4. PCR methods for detection of *Listeria*

A number of methods based on nucleic acid recognition have been developed to detect *L. monocytogenes* in samples from the food chain (Jadhav *et al.*, 2012). Target DNA sequences for diagnostic purposes include the *hly* gene, the *iap* gene, the *prfA* gene and 16S rDNA gene in a PCR or real-time PCR. Target ribosomal RNA sequences, in higher copies per cell than DNA, and the use of isothermal PCR format is a new promising development for diagnostic purposes. PCR-based methods

8 WHO: World Health Organization

for the detection of *Listeria* should be validated and used in accordance with Chapter 2.2.3. *Development and optimisation of nucleic acid detection assays.*

1.5. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Listeria monocytogenes is intrinsically resistant to cephalosporins (cefazolin, ceftiofur, cefpirome), quinolones (nalidixic acid and early fluoroquinolone such as ofloxacin), fosfomycin and clindamycin. Acquired resistance has been rarely identified. Most of the isolates are susceptible to Penicillin G, amoxicillin, aminoglycosides (gentamicin), tetracyclines, phenicols, trimethoprim and sulfonamides, rifampin, glycopeptides (vancomycin) (Granier *et al.*, 2011; Luque-Sastre *et al.*, 2018). In Europe, Eucast⁹ proposed in 2011 a methodology for *L. monocytogenes* susceptibility testing by disc diffusion. In the USA, two documents from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (www.clsi.org), M31-A3 regarding susceptibility tests of bacteria from animals and M45-A2 regarding susceptibility tests of fastidious bacteria, provide guidelines and interpretation criteria to assess susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* by the broth microdilution method.

1.6. Subtyping methods

Most regulatory controls of *L. monocytogenes* do not require any specific subtyping of the isolates. However, subtyping schemes can be useful in outbreak investigations, environmental tracking, control of recurrent or persistent clone(s) in a plant, and public health investigations.

Listeria monocytogenes has traditionally been subtyped by a number of different approaches including serotyping, phage typing, DNA restriction enzyme analysis (either using high-frequency cutting enzymes and conventional gel electrophoresis to separate fragments, or using rare-cutting enzymes and pulse-field gel electrophoresis [PFGE] to separate fragments), and nucleic acid sequencing-based typing, microarray analysis. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is now used routinely to subtype *L. monocytogenes*.

Because of the requirement for specific reagents, stringent quality assurance procedures and some sophisticated equipment, it is recommended that subtyping of *L. monocytogenes* isolates be referred to appropriate reference laboratories. These reference laboratories could be set at the national, regional or international levels. At the international level, there is only one WHO Collaborating Centre for *Listeria*¹⁰.

1.6.1. Serotyping and genoserotyping (PCR group)

Strains of *L. monocytogenes* can be assigned to 14 different serovars (1/2a, 1/2b, 1/2c, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 4ab, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4h and 7), based on their combination of somatic (O) and flagellar (H) antigens, according to the Seeliger & Höhne protocol (1979). Serotyping antigens are shared among *L. monocytogenes*, *L. innocua*, *L. seeligeri* and *L. welshimeri*. There is only one commercial kit with these antiserum sera (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan). Although all of them are considered to be potentially pathogenic, most (>95%) human clinical isolates belong to three serovars 1/2a, 1/2b, and 4b. Compared with other subtyping methods, serotyping has poor discriminatory power, but can provide valuable information to facilitate the ruling out of isolates that are not part of an outbreak or an investigation on a human sporadic case. Isolates from foods and from environmental sources are frequently nontypable using commercial standard antiserum sera and require additional sera. In this case, typing could be performed at the WHO Collaborating Centre for *Listeria*.

Because serotyping is not cost-effective, necessitates technical expertise and antisera, it is now often substituted by a quick and reproducible PCR-based method, developed by Doumith *et al.* (2004), which targets the five DNA fragments *prs*, *ORF2110*, *ORF2819*, *Imo1118*, *Imo0737*. This last genoserotyping method is now internationally recognised and validated. All *Listeria* species but *L. rocourtiae* possess an amplifiable *prs* gene fragment. PCR serogroup IIa comprises strains of serovars 1/2a and 3a (amplification of *prs* and *Imo0737* DNA fragments); PCR serogroup IIb comprises strains of serovars 1/2b, 3b, and 7 (amplification of the *prs* and *ORF2819* DNA fragments); PCR serogroup IIc comprises strains of serovars 1/2c and 3c (amplification of *prs*, *Imo0737* and *Imo1118* DNA fragments); PCR serogroup IVb comprises strains of serovars 4b, 4d and 4e (amplification of *prs*, *ORF2819* and *ORF2110* DNA fragments). Finally, PCR serogroup L comprises strains of other serovars of *L. monocytogenes* and other species, except *L. rocourtiae*. This PCR genoserotyping is now performed *in silico* directly from the genomic sequence of the strain (Moura *et al.*, 2016). The PCR method of Doumith *et al.* (2004) failed to distinguish newly

9 Eurocast: www.eucast.org

10 Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

named serovar 4h and Feng *et al.* (2020) proposed a multiplex PCR specific to serovar 4h (amplification of LMxysn 1095 and smcL).

1.6.2. Lineage

After serotyping, *L. monocytogenes* can be classified into three lineages, of which lineage I encompasses serovars 1/2b, 3b, 4b, 4d and 4e; lineage II includes serovars 1/2a, 1/2c, 3a, 3c and 4h; and lineage III comprises serovars 4a, 4c and atypical 4b, according to Wiedmann *et al.* (1997). The lineage status of serovars 4ab and 7 remains unclear due to limited availability of such strains. Within the lineage III, three genetically distinct subgroups (IIIA, IIIB, and IIIC) have been identified after comparative analysis of *actA* and *sigB* gene sequences. Phenotypically, lineage IIIa strains behave like typical *L. monocytogenes* in their ability to ferment rhamnose, whereas lineages IIIB and IIIC strains are notably deficient in rhamnose utilisation. Lineages I and II are involved in the documented human listeriosis cases and lineage III are rarely associated with outbreaks despite their frequent isolation from food and environmental specimens. Lineage I and II isolates seem to be similarly prevalent in animals. Lineage I is more virulent than lineage II, whereas serovar 4h belonging to a hybrid sublineage of the major lineage II (HSL-II) is highly hypervirulent. Specific genes potentially associated with central nervous systems infections in ruminants between lineages I and II were described (Aguilar-Bultet *et al.*, 2018). Recently, lineage IIIB has been reclassified as lineage IV because it is significantly different from lineage IIIA and IIIC to warrant being its own lineage.

1.6.3. Chromosomal DNA restriction endonuclease analysis

Restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) of chromosomal DNA is a useful subtyping method for *L. monocytogenes*. As these enzymes are highly specific in recognising nucleotide sequences, the resulting DNA digestion fragments, of different size and electrophoretic mobility, reflect genomic differences, resulting in specific 'fingerprints' among otherwise related strains. Because of the restriction endonuclease specificity, the method is highly reproducible. Of the restriction endonucleases tested on *L. monocytogenes* in a WHO Multicentre study, *HaeIII*, *HhaI* and *CfoI* were the most useful (Graves *et al.*, 2007). However, because of a potentially large number of enzyme recognition sites in the bacterial genome, sometimes complex fingerprints evolve, with overlapping or poorly resolved bands that are difficult to interpret. The technique is therefore not adequate for comparing a large number of strain patterns or for building dynamic databases (Graves *et al.*, 2007). One of these REA method, ribotyping, has been widely used for subtyping *L. monocytogenes*, mainly through the use of the restriction endonuclease *EcoRI*, but have a poor discriminatory power.

When restriction endonuclease enzymes that cut infrequently are used to digest unsheared chromosomal DNA, such as *ApaI*, *SmaI*, *NotI* and *Ascl*, very large fragments are obtained. Because of their size, these large fragments do not separate when run under conventional agarose gel electrophoresis. However, by periodically changing the orientation of the electric field across the gel, through pulses, the large fragments can 'crawl' through the agarose matrix and are separated according to size differences. This technique is known as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and has revolutionised the precise separation of DNA fragments larger than 40 kilobases. PFGE has been applied to the subtyping of *L. monocytogenes* and has been found to be a highly discriminating and reproducible method. PFGE was particularly useful for subtyping serotype 4b isolates, which are not satisfactorily subtyped by most other subtyping methods before genomic era. The main disadvantages of PFGE are the time required to complete the procedure (2–3 days), the large quantities of expensive restriction enzymes required, and the need for specialised, expensive equipment (Graves *et al.*, 2007). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the USA has established PulseNet, a network of public health and food regulatory laboratories at the national or international levels that routinely subtype food-borne pathogenic bacteria by PFGE. PulseNet laboratories use highly standardised protocols for PFGE of *Listeria* with endonuclease enzymes *ApaI* and *Ascl*, and can quickly compare PFGE patterns from different locations via restriction profile picture exchange using internet. *Listeria monocytogenes* was added to PulseNet in 1999 and the last protocol published in 2009. In Europe, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) are building databases with PFGE profiles of *L. monocytogenes* isolated from human cases and food and veterinary sources, respectively, with the aim of investigating transnational or cross-border outbreaks. Since 2017, pulsed field gel electrophoresis with endonuclease enzymes *Ascl* and *ApaI* for *L. monocytogenes* has been progressively replaced by methods of subtyping using WGS (Moura *et al.*, 2016).

1.6.4. Nucleic acid sequence-based and whole genome sequencing typing

Although there have been some reports on the sequence analysis of single genes as a means to type *L. monocytogenes* strains, determination of allelic variation of multiple genes, has been introduced as a very promising subtyping methodology for this microorganism. This approach has been reported for a handful of other microorganisms and it is known as multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) (Ragon *et al.*, 2008). Direct amplification and nucleotide sequencing has been used with good discrimination between the strains analysed. Because MLST is based on nucleotide sequence, it is more discriminatory and provides unambiguous results. MLST allowed definition of a sequence type (ST) or clonal complex (CC), which gives a view of the phylogenetic structure of a population of isolates. Some of this clonal complex has been implicated in outbreaks or could be linked to clinical forms that give the risk manager additional information on isolates. Recent advancements have enhanced understanding of the virulence potential associated with different ST/CC of *L. monocytogenes*, and identified hypervirulent (such as CC1, CC2, CC4, CC6) and hypovirulent (such as CC9, CC121) clones (Maury *et al.*, 2016). *Listeria monocytogenes* ST1 of CC1 has been found to be strongly associated with rhombencephalitis, which could indicate an increased neurotropism of ST1 in ruminants (Dreyer *et al.*, 2016). Finally, hypervirulent *L. monocytogenes* clones have adapted to mammalian gut, which accounts for their association with dairy products (Maury *et al.*, 2019).

Subtyping by WGS analysis of *L. monocytogenes* strains by a variety of methods such as core genome MLST or single-nucleotide polymorphisms, is available for epidemiological investigations (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Moura *et al.*, 2016; Ruppitsch *et al.*, 2015). Definitions of *L. monocytogenes* clones and clusters using whole genome diversity have been proposed (Moura *et al.*, 2016). Continuing efforts in WGS and functional analysis of human, food and environment isolates are needed to gain more insights into the important subject of the virulence of *L. monocytogenes* isolates or clonal complexes.

Compared with gel-based methods, WGS analysis is more phylogenetically relevant. Some WGS analytical approaches have targeted the entire genome of *L. monocytogenes* (Moura *et al.*, 2016), while others have targeted the core genome (Moura *et al.*, 2016). Different genomic variations have been targeted: single nucleotide polymorphisms, allelic profiles, and k-mers. Moura *et al.* (2016) defines, with unprecedented precision, the population structure of *L. monocytogenes*, demonstrates the occurrence of international circulation of strains and reveals the extent of heterogeneity in virulence and stress resistant genomic features among clinical and food isolates. The implementation of WGS for global epidemiological surveillance has assisted investigations of numerous listeriosis outbreaks.

The development, management and curation of international databases of standardised and reliable WGS subtyping results and their metadata of isolates from different origins (clinical isolates of human origin, food and feed isolates, isolates from animals/veterinary surveillance, isolates from the farm and factory environment) contributes to the understanding of the transmission pathways, rapidly identifying the source of an outbreak and managing the pathogen (Moura *et al.*, 2016; Whitman *et al.*, 2020). The next step in the surveillance for the identification of animal sources of an outbreak and the investigation of listeriosis outbreaks is the use of artificial intelligence or machine learning such as for *Salmonella*.

2. Serological tests

Serological tests for the detection of antibodies have not been traditionally used for the diagnosis of listeriosis. They have been largely unreliable, lacking sensitivity and specificity. A number of formats, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), dot-blot and microagglutination (Gruber-Widal reaction) have been largely unsuccessful in the diagnosis of culture-proven human listeriosis, even in the absence of immunosuppression. Considerable cross-reactivity with antigenic determinants of other Gram-positive organisms has been observed. On the other hand, *L. monocytogenes* is a ubiquitous organism, and regular exposure of animals and humans to this microorganism is very common. Many healthy individuals are intestinal carriers (2–6%) and anti-*L. monocytogenes* serum antibody prevalence as high as 53% have been reported in humans. Carriage rate for animals is similar to that of humans, with some differences depending on the species and a slightly higher rate during the indoor season, as compared to animals on pasture (Dhama *et al.*, 2015).

The discovery that the *L. monocytogenes* haemolysin, listeriolysin O (LLO), is a major virulence factor and that it can stimulate an antibody response, has recently renewed interest in the possibility of using serological tests for the diagnosis of listeriosis, particularly in central nervous system patients, with sterile blood and cerebrospinal fluid, and in perinatal listeriosis. An indirect ELISA based on the detection of anti-LLO was used for the diagnosis of experimental listeriosis in sheep (Low *et al.*, 1992). However, LLO is antigenically related to a number of cytolysins, including streptolysin O (SLO) from *Streptococcus pyogenes*, pneumolysin from *S. pneumoniae* and perfringolysin

from *Clostridium perfringens*. Problems of cross-reactivity of anti-LLO antibodies with these cytolysins, particularly SLO and pneumolysin, have hampered the development of specific reliable serological tests based on the detection of anti-LLO antibodies. In addition, anti-LLO antibodies have been found in a proportion of healthy individuals and patients with other bacterial, fungal or viral infections (27%, all combined), although at lower titres than in patients with listeriosis. Absorption of diagnostic antisera with SLO is only partially effective in eliminating all cross-reactivity. These experimental assays have been used in some epidemiological investigations and as support for the diagnosis of culture-negative central nervous system infections. Recombinant forms of LLO have been explored as alternatives to wild LLO as a diagnostic antigen in dot-blot assays. Full validation of these serological tests for the diagnosis of listeriosis is needed but a sera biobank needs to be developed.

C. REQUIREMENTS FOR VACCINES

It has proven very difficult to develop effective vaccines against *L. monocytogenes* which, as a facultatively anaerobic intracellular microorganism, requires effector T cells for an effective immune response. Experimental vaccines in laboratory animals are being explored to confer protection to *L. monocytogenes* infection, but these are still far from becoming available for human or farm animal use. These experimental approaches include immunisation with plasmid DNA, CD40 signalling along with heat-killed *L. monocytogenes*, LLO-deficient mutants inoculated along with liposome-encapsulated LLO, RNAi technology and immunisation with listerial antigens and IL-12 (Dhama *et al.*, 2015).

Genetically modified *L. monocytogenes* is also being considered as an effective vaccine vector for the expression, secretion and intracellular delivery of foreign antigens for the induction of potent immune responses against viral antigens and tumour cells. Safety concerns remain for the use of this approach in dogs.

The lack of well designed and tested vaccines for animal use, means that control of listeriosis in animals is most feasible by preventing the environmental conditions that favour its presentation.

REFERENCES

- AGUILAR-BULTET L., NICHOLSON P., RYCHENER L., DREYER M., GÖZEL B., ORIGGI F.C., OEVERMANN A., FREY J. & FALQUET L. (2018). Genetic Separation of *Listeria monocytogenes* Causing Central Nervous System Infections in Animals. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, **8**, 20.
- BUCHANAN R., GORRIS L., HAYMAN M., JACKSON T. & WHITING R. (2016). A Review of *Listeria monocytogenes*: An Update on Outbreaks, Virulence, Dose–response, Ecology, and Risk Assessments. *Food Control.*, **75**, 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.12.016.
- CAMPERO C.M., ODEÓN A.C., CIPOLLA A.L., MOORE D.P., POSO M.A. & ODRIOZOLA E. (2002). Demonstration of *Listeria monocytogenes* by immunohistochemistry in formalin-fixed brain tissues from natural cases of ovine and bovine encephalitis. *J. Vet. Med. [B]*, **49**, 379–383.
- CHARLIER C., PERRODEAU É., LECLERCQ A., CAZENAVE B., PILMIS B., HENRY B., LOPES A., MAURY M.M., MOURA A., GOFFINET F., DIEYE H.B., THOUVENOT P., UNGEHEUER M.N., TOURDJMAN M., GOULET V., DE VALK H., LORTHOLARY O., RAVAUD P., LECUIT M. & MONALISA STUDY GROUP (2017). Clinical features and prognostic factors of listeriosis: the MONALISA national prospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, **17**, 510–519.
- CHEN Y., LUO Y., CARLETON H., TIMME R., MELKA D., MURUVANDA T., WANG C., KASTANIS G., KATZ L.S., TURNER L., FRITZINGER A., MOORE T., STONES R., BLANKENSHIP J., SALTER M., PARISH M., HAMMACK T.S., EVANS P.S., TARR C.L., ALLARD M.W., STRAIN E.A. & BROWN E.W. (2017). Whole Genome and Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing and Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Analyses of *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolates Associated with an Outbreak Linked to Cheese, United States, 2013. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **83**, pii: e00633-17
- CLARK R.G., GILL J.M. & SWANNEY S. (2004). *Listeria monocytogenes* gastroenteritis in sheep. *NZ Vet. J.*, **52**, 46–47.
- CZUPRYNSKI C.J., KATHARIOU S. & POULSEN K. (2010). Chapter 10: *Listeria*. In: Pathogenesis of Bacterial Infections in Animals, Fourth Edition, Gyles C.L., Prescott J.F., Songer J.G., & Thoen C.O., eds. Blackwell Publishing, USA.
- DE NOORDHOUT C.M., DEVLEESSCHAUWER B., ANGULO F.J., VERBEKE G., HAAGSMA J., KIRK M., HAVELAAR A. & SPEYBROECK N. (2014). The global burden of listeriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, **14**, 1073–1082.

- DHAMA K., KARTHIK K., TIWARI R., SHABBI M.Z., BARBUDDHE S., MALIK S.V. & SINGH R.K. (2015). Listeriosis in animals, its public health significance (food-borne zoonosis) and advances in diagnosis and control: a comprehensive review. *Vet Q.*, **35**, 211–235.
- DOUMITH M., BUCHRIESER C., GLASER P., JACQUET C. & MARTIN P. (2004). Differentiation of the major *Listeria monocytogenes* serovars by multiplex PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **42**, 3819–3822.
- DREYER M., AGUILAR-BULTET L., RUPP S., GULDIMANN C., STEPHAN R., SCHOCK A., OTTER A., SCHÜPBACH G., BRISSE S., LECUIT M., FREY J. & OEVERMANN A. (2016). *Listeria monocytogenes* sequence type 1 is predominant in ruminant rhombencephalitis. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 36419.
- ELD K., DANIELSSON-THAM M.-L., GUNNARSSON A. & THAM W. (1993). Comparison of a cold enrichment method and the IDF method for isolation of *Listeria monocytogenes* from animal autopsy material. *Vet. Microbiol.*, **36**, 185–189.
- FENG Y., YAO H., CHEN S., SUN X., YIN Y. & JIAO X. (2020). Rapid Detection of Hypervirulent Serovar 4h *Listeria monocytogenes* by Multiplex PCR. *Front. Microbiol.*, **11**, 1309. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01309
- FENLON D.R., WILSON J. & DONACHIE W. (1996). The incidence and level of *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination of food sources at primary production and initial processing. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, **81**, 641–650.
- GRANIER S.A., MOUBARECK C., COLANERI C., LEMIRE A., ROUSSEL S., DAO T.T., COURVALIN P. & BRISABOIS A. (2011). Antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from food and the environment in France over a 10-year period. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **77**, 2788–2790.
- GRAVES L.M., SWAMINATHAN B. & HUNTER S.B. (2007). Subtyping *Listeria monocytogenes*. In: *Listeria*, Listeriosis, and Food Safety, Third Edition, Ryser E.T. & Marth E.H., eds. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 283–304.
- HIRD D.W. & GENIGEORGIS C. (1990). Listeriosis in food animals: clinical signs and livestock as a potential source of direct nonfoodborne infection for man. In: *Foodborne Listeriosis*, Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkutti G.A., eds. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 31–39.
- JACQUET C., DOUMITH M., GORDON J. I., MARTIN P. M., COSSART P. & LECUIT M. (2004). A molecular marker for evaluating the pathogenic potential of foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Infect. Dis.*, **189**, 2094–2100.
- JADHAV S., BHAVE M. & PALOMBO E.A. (2012). Methods used for the detection and subtyping of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Microbiol. Methods*, **88**, 327–341.
- JAMI M., GHANBARI M., ZUNABOVIC M., DOMIG K.J. & KNEIFEL W. (2014). *Listeria monocytogenes* in Aquatic Food products – A review. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, **13**, 798–813.
- JINNEMAN K.C., HUNT J.M., EKLUND C.A., WERNBERG J.S., SADO P.N., JOHNSON J.M., RICHTER R.S., TORRES S.T., AYOTTE E., ELIASBERG S.J., ISTAFANOS P., BASS D., KEXEL-CALABRESA N., LIN W. & BARTON C.N. (2003). Evaluation and interlaboratory validation of a selective agar for phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C activity using a chromogenic substrate to detect *Listeria monocytogenes* from foods. *J. Food Prot.*, **66**, 441–445.
- JOHNSON G.C., FALES W.H., MADDOX C.W. & RAMOS-VERA J.A. (1995). Evaluation of laboratory tests for confirming the diagnosis of encephalitic listeriosis in ruminants. *J. Vet. Diagn. Invest.*, **7**, 223–228.
- LOW J.C., DAVIES R.C. & DONACHIE W. (1992). Purification of listeriolysin O and development of an immunoassay for diagnosis of listeric infection in sheep. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **30**, 2505–2708.
- LOW J.C. & DONACHIE W. (1997). A review of *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeriosis. *Vet. J.*, **153**, 9–29.
- LUQUE-SASTRE L., ARROYO C., FOX E.M., MCMAHON B.J., BAI L., LI F. & FANNING S. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance in *Listeria* species. *Microbiol. Spectrum*, **6**, ARBA-0031-2017.
- MAURY M.M., TSAI Y.H., CHARLIER C., TOUCHON M., CHENAL-FRANCISQUE V., LECLERCQ A., CRISCUOLO A., GAULTIER C., ROUSSEL S., BRISABOIS A., DISSON O., ROCHA E.P.C., BRISSE S. & LECUIT M. (2016). Uncovering *Listeria monocytogenes* hypervirulence by harnessing its biodiversity. *Nat. Genet.*, **48**, 308–313.
- MAURY M.M., BRACQ-DIEYE H., HUANG L., VALES G., LAVINA M., THOUVENOT P., DISSON O., LECLERCQ A., BRISSE S. & LECUIT M. (2019). Hypervirulent *Listeria monocytogenes* clones' adaptation to mammalian gut accounts for their association with dairy products. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 2488.

MOURA A., CRISCUOLO A., POUSSELE H., MAURY M.M., LECLERCQ A., TARR C., BJÖRKMAN J.T., DALLMAN T., REIMER A., ENOUF V., LARSONNEUR E., CARLETON H., BRACQ-DIEYE H., KATZ L.S., JONES L., TOUCHON M., TOURDJMAN M., WALKER M., STROIKA S., CANTINELLI T., CHENAL-FRANCISQUE V., KUCEROVA Z., ROCHA E.P., NADON C., GRANT K., NIELSEN E.M., POT B., GERNER-SMIDT P., LECUIT M. & BRISSE S. (2016). Whole genome-based population biology and epidemiological surveillance of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Nat. Microbiol.*, **2**, 16185.

ORSI R.H. & WIEDMANN M. (2016). Characteristics and distribution of *Listeria* spp., including *Listeria* species newly described since 2009. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **100**, 5273–5287.

RAGON M., WIRTH T., HOLLANDT F., LAVENIR R., LECUIT M., LE MONNIER A. & BRISSE S. (2008). A new perspective on *Listeria monocytogenes* evolution. *PLoS Pathog.*, **4**:e1000146.

ROBERTS A.J. & WIEDMANN M. (2003). Pathogen, host and environmental factors contributing to the pathogenesis of listeriosis. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, **60**, 904–918.

RUPPITSCH W., PIETZKA A., PRIOR K., BLETZ S., FERNANDEZ H.L., ALLERBERGER F., HARMSSEN D. & MELLMANN A. (2015) Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for Whole-Genome Sequence-Based Typing of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **53**, 2869–2876.

SCHLECH W.F. 3RD, LAVIGNE P.M., BORTOLUSSI R.A., ALLEN A.C., HALDANE E.V., WORT A.J., HIGHTOWER A.W., JOHNSON S.E., KING S., NICHOLLS E.S. & BROOME C.V. (1983). Epidemic listeriosis – evidence for transmission by food. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **318**, 203–206.

SEELIGER H.P.R. & HÖHNE K. (1979). Serotyping of *Listeria monocytogenes* and related species. *In: Methods in Microbiology*, Volume 13, Bergan T. & Norris J. R., eds. Academic Press, London, UK, New York, USA.

THOUVENOT P., VALES G., BRACQ-DIEYE H., TESSAUD-RITA N., MAURY M.M., MOURA A., LECUIT M. & LECLERCQ A. (2018). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry-based identification of *Listeria* species in surveillance: A prospective study. *J. Microbiol. Methods*, **144**, 29–32.

VALIMAA A.L., TILSALA-TIMISJARVI A. & VIRTANEN E. (2015). Rapid detection and identification methods for *Listeria monocytogenes* in the food chain – A review. *Food Control*, **55**, 103–114.

WALLAND J., LAUPER J., FREY J., IMHOF R., STEPAHN R., SEUBERLICH T. & OEVERMANN A. (2015). *Listeria monocytogenes* infection in ruminants: is there a link to the environment, food and human health? A review. *Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd.*, **157**, 319–328.

WALKER R.L. (1999). *Listeria*. *In: Veterinary Microbiology*, Hirsh D.C. & Zee Y.C., eds. Blackwell Science, Malden, Massachusetts, USA, 225–228.

WESLEY I.V. (2007). Listeriosis in animals. *In: Listeria, Listeriosis, and Food Safety*, Third Edition, Ryser E.T. & Marth E.H., eds. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 55–84.

WIEDMANN M., BRUCE J.L., KEATING C., JOHNSON A.E., McDONOUGH P.L. & BATT C.A. (1997). Ribotypes and virulence gene polymorphisms suggest three distinct *Listeria monocytogenes* lineages with differences in pathogenic potential. *Infect. Immun.*, **65**, 2707–2716.

WHITMAN K.J., BONO J.L., CLAWSON M.L., LOY J.D., BOSILEVAC J.M., ARTHUR T.M. & ONDRAK J.D. (2020). Genomic-based identification of environmental and clinical *Listeria monocytogenes* strains associated with an abortion outbreak in beef heifers. *BMC Vet. Res.*, **16**, 70.

YANG X., NOYES N.R., DOSTER E., MARTIN J.N., LINKE LM, MAGNUSON RJ, YANG H, GEORNARAS I, WOERNER DR, JONES K.L., RUIZ J., BOUCHER C., MORLEY P.S. & BELK K.E. (2016). Use of Metagenomic Shotgun Sequencing Technology to Detect Foodborne Pathogens within the Microbiome of the Beef Production Chain. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **82**, 2433–2443.

*

* *

NB: At the time of publication (2021) there were no OIE Reference Laboratories for *Listeria monocytogenes* (please consult the OIE Web site: <https://www.oie.int/en/what-we-offer/expertise-network/reference-laboratories/#ui-id-3>).

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2004; MOST RECENT UPDATES ADOPTED IN 2021.