## SUSCEPTIBILITY OF CRUSTACEAN SPECIES TO INFECTION WITH MACROBRACHIUM ROSENBERGII NODAVIRUS (WHITE TAIL DISEASE)

The following table shows the crustacean species assessed against the criteria for susceptibility to infection with *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* nodavirus (white tail disease) and the outcomes of the assessments. For details about the specific assessment please refer to the link included in the source column of the table.

## **Assessment Table Key:**

N:Natural infectionYES:Demonstrates criterion is metND:Not determinedE:Experimental (non-invasive)NO:Criterion is not metNS:Not scoredEI:Experimental invasiveI:InconclusiveN/A:Not applicable

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: Evidence of infection				Outcome	References	Source	Year of
				Α	В	С	D	Outcome	References	Jource	adoption
Assessed as a susceptible species and included in Article 9.7.2. of Chapter 9.7. of the Aquatic Code											
Macrobrachium rosenbergii	giant river prawn	Experimental (immersion, oral, injection); Natural	Northern blotting, RT- PCR, real time RT-PCR, nested RT- PCR, ISH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1	Hsieh et al., 2006; Owens et al., 2009 Qian et al., 2003; Ravi et al., 2009; Sahul Hameed et al., 2004a; Sriwongpuk, 2010; Wang et al., 2008; Yoganandhan et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2004.	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Assessed as incomplete evidence and listed in Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.2.6. in the Aquatic Manual											
Penaeus vannamei	white leg shrimp	Natural and Experimental (oral)	Nested RT- PCR	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	2	Senapin <i>et al.</i> , 2012a; Senapin <i>et al.</i> , 2012b;	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Assessed as having PCR positive results but no active infection and listed in the second paragraph of Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.2.6. in the Aquatic Manual											
Notonecta sp	backswimmer	Experimental challenges with C6/36 cells	RT-PCR, nested RT- PCR, TEM	No	yes	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2008	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: Evidence of infection				Outcome	References	Source	Year of
				А	В	С	D	Outcome	References	Source	adoption
Cybister sp	beetle	Experimental challenges with C6/36 cells	RT-PCR, Nested RT- PCR, TEM	No	Yes	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2008	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Artemia sp.	brine shrimps	Experimental (oral)	RT-PCR, nested RT-PCR	No	No	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2006	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Aesohna sp.	dragonfly	Experimental challenges with C6/36 cells	RT-PCR, Nested RT- PCR, TEM	No	Yes	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2008	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Penaeus monodon	giant tiger prawn	Natural & experimental infection	RT-PCR	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	Ravi <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2006	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Belostoma sp.	giant water bug	Experimental challenges with C6/36 cells	RT-PCR, Nested RT- PCR, TEM	No	Yes	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2008	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Macrobrachium rude	hairy river prawn	Experimental (oral and intramuscularly injection)	RT-PCR	No	No	No	No	3	Ravi <i>et al</i> ., 2015	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Penaeus indicus	Indian white prawn	Natural & experimental infection	RT-PCR	No	Yes	No	No	3	Ravi <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2006	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Penaeus japonicus	kuruma prawn	Experimental (oral & intramuscular injection)	RT-PCR	No	No	No	No	3	Sudhakaran <i>et al.</i> , 2006	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Macrobrachium malcolmsonii	monsoon river prawn	Experimental (oral and intramuscularly injection)	RT-PCR	No	No	No	No	3	Ravi <i>et al.</i> , 2015	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017
Cherax quadricarinatus	red claw crayfish	Experimental (feed & intramuscular injection)	qRT-PCR	No	No	Yes	No	3	Hsieh <i>et al.</i> , 2011	ad hoc Group report: October 2015	2017

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission i	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: E	vidence	of infec	ction	Outcome	References	Source	Year of adoption
				Α	В	С	D				
Assessed as evidence of non-susceptibility (e.g. experimental invasive studies with no evidence of infection)											
none known											