

## SUSCEPTIBILITY OF FISH SPECIES TO INFECTION WITH INFECTIOUS HAEMATOPOIETIC NECROSIS VIRUS (IHNV)

The following table shows the fish species assessed against the criteria for susceptibility to infection with infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus and the outcomes of the assessments. For details about the specific assessment please refer to the link included in the source column of the table.

### Assessment Table Key:

N: Natural infection	Y: Demonstrates criterion is met	ND: Not determined
E: Experimental (non-invasive)	N: Criterion is not met	NS: Not scored
EI: Experimental invasive	I: Inconclusive	N/A: Not applicable

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: Evidence of infection				Outcome	References	Source	Year of adoption
				A	B	C	D				
Assessed as a susceptible species and included in Article 10.6.2. of Chapter 10.6. of the <i>Aquatic Code</i>											
<i>Esox lucius</i>	pike	N	Culture and ELISA	ND	Y	Y	N	1	Reschova <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Dorson <i>et al.</i> , 1987	<a href="#">Aquatic Animals Commission February 2019 Report</a>	2019
<i>Salmo marmoratus</i>	marble trout	E	Culture and PCR	ND	Y	Y	Y	1	Pascoli <i>et al.</i> , 2015	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Salmo salar</i>	Atlantic salmon	N and E	Culture, neutralisation and RT-PCR	ND	Y	Y	Y	1	Armstrong <i>et al.</i> , 1993; St-Hilaire <i>et al.</i> , 2002	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Salmo trutta</i>	brown trout	E and N	Culture confirmed with serum neutralisation published in LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1990 paper	ND	Y	Y	N	1	LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1990; Rexhepi <i>et al.</i> , 2011	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>	Arctic trout	E	Culture and ELISA	ND	Y	Y	N	1	McAllister <i>et al.</i> , 2000	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	brook trout	N	Culture and RT-PCR and IFAT	Y	Y	Y	Y	1	Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Bootland <i>et al.</i> , 1994	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: Evidence of infection				Outcome	References	Source	Year of adoption
				A	B	C	D				
<i>Salvelinus namaycush</i>	lake trout	E	Culture and DNA probe	Y	Y	Y	N	1	Follett <i>et al.</i> , 1997	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus clarkii</i>	cutthroat trout	E	Culture of known isolate 220-90	ND	Y	Y	N	1	LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1994	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus keta</i>	chum salmon	N	Culture and serum neutralisation	ND	Y	Y	N	1	Follett <i>et al.</i> , 1987; Yoshimizu <i>et al.</i> , 1993	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon	N	Culture and serum neutralisation	Y	Y	N	Y	1	Eaton <i>et al.</i> , 1991; LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Helmick <i>et al.</i> , 1995; Hedrick <i>et al.</i> , 1995	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus masou</i>	masu salmon	N	Culture and immunossay	ND	Y	N	Y	1	Yoshimizu <i>et al.</i> , 1993	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	rainbow trout	E and N	Culture and RT-PCR	ND	Y	Y	Y	1	Pascoli <i>et al.</i> , 2015; LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1993; Haenen <i>et al.</i> , 2016	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	sockeye salmon	E	Culture and DNA probe	Y	Y	Y	N	1	Follett <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Yoshimizu <i>et al.</i> , 1993	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	chinook salmon	N	Culture and serum neutralisation	Y	Y	Y	N	1	Follett <i>et al.</i> , 1987; Arkush <i>et al.</i> , 2004; St-Hilaire <i>et al.</i> , 2001	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
Assessed as incomplete evidence and listed in Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.3.5. in the <i>Aquatic Manual</i>											
<i>Acipenser transmontanus</i>	white surgeon	E/EI	Cell culture but no confirmation	Y	Y	N	N	2	LaPatra <i>et al.</i> , 1995	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	European eel	N	Culture but not confirmed	ND	N	N	N	2	Bergmann <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Jorgensen <i>et al.</i> , 1994	<a href="#">Aquatic Animals Commission September 2019 Report</a>	2021

Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of transmission	Stage 2: Pathogen identification	Stage 3: Evidence of infection				Outcome	References	Source	Year of adoption
				A	B	C	D				
<i>Aulorhynchus flavidus</i>	tube-snout	N	Virus culture and DNA probe or neutralization test	ND	Y	N	N	2	Kent <i>et al.</i> , 1998	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Clupea pallasii</i>	Pacific herring	N	Virus culture and DNA probe or neutralization test	ND	Y	N	N	2	Kent <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Hart <i>et al.</i> , 2011	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Cymatogaster aggregate</i>	shiner perch	N	Virus culture and DNA probe or neutralization test	ND	Y	N	N	2	Kent <i>et al.</i> , 1998	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	turbot	E	Culture and PCR	ND	Y	N	N	2	Polinski <i>et al.</i> , 2010	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
Assessed as having PCR positive results but no active infection and listed in the second paragraph of Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.3.5. in the <i>Aquatic Manual</i>											
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	common carp	E	Culture and qRT-PCR	ND	N	N	N	3	Palmer <i>et al.</i> , 2014	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
<i>Perca flavescens</i>	American yellow perch	E	Culture and qRT-PCR	ND	N	N	N	3	Palmer <i>et al.</i> , 2014	<a href="#">ad hoc Group report: May 2018</a>	2019
Assessed as evidence of non-susceptibility (e.g. experimental invasive studies with no evidence of infection)											
none known											