### Original

Original: English (EN)

April 2023

# Report of the WOAH *ad hoc* Group on susceptibility of fish species to infection with WOAH listed diseases



#### **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Methodology	2
3.	Scoring and assessments	5
4.	Results	9
5.	Naming convention for susceptible species	9
6.	Comments on the ad hoc Group's rationale and decision-making	9
7.	Article 1.5.9 Listing of Susceptible species at a taxonomic ranking of Genus or Higher	10
8.	References	10
Lis	st of Annexes	
An	nex 1. List of Participants	14
Δn	nex 2 Terms of Reference	15



#### 1. Introduction

This report covers the work of the WOAH *ad hoc* Group on Susceptibility of fish species to infection with WOAH listed diseases (the *ad hoc* Group) who met virtually on 12, 13 and 19 April, 2023.

The list of participants and the Terms of Reference are presented in Annex 1 and Annex 2, respectively.

#### 2. Methodology

The *ad hoc* Group applied criteria, as outlined in Chapter 1.5. Criteria for listing species as susceptible to infection with a specific pathogen of the WOAH *Aquatic Animal Health Code* (the *Aquatic Code*), to potential host species in order to determine susceptibility to infection with tilapia lake virus (TiLV).

A three-stage approach, as described in Article 1.5.3., was used to assess the susceptibility of a species to infection with TiLV and was based on:

Stage 1. criteria to determine whether the route of transmission is consistent with natural pathways for the infection (as described in Article 1.5.4.);

Stage 2. criteria to determine whether the pathogenic agent has been adequately identified (as described in Article 1.5.5.);

Stage 3. criteria to determine whether the evidence indicates that presence of the pathogenic agent constitutes an infection (as described in Article 1.5.6.):

- A. The pathogenic agent is multiplying in the host, or developing stages of the pathogenic agent are present in or on the host;
- B. Viable pathogenic agent is isolated from the proposed susceptible species, or infectivity is demonstrated by way of transmission to naïve individuals;
- C. Clinical or pathological changes are associated with the infection;
- D. The specific location of the pathogen corresponds with the expected target tissues.

Details of the three-stage approach applied by the *ad hoc* Group for infection with TiLV, including any additional considerations are described below:

# 2.1. Stage 1: Criteria to determine whether the route of transmission is consistent with natural pathways for the infection:

Table 1 describes the route of transmission for infection with TiLV used by the *ad hoc* Group when applying Stage 1 to assess susceptibility to infection with TiLV, as well as some considerations.

Table 1: Route of transmission for infection with TiLV

Route of transmission	Considerations
Natural exposure including situations where infection has occurred without experimental intervention (e.g. infection in wild or farmed populations).	Experimental infection via invasive routes (i.e. injection) was not considered a natural route of transmission and therefore such studies were only evaluated for conflicting evidence.
OR	
Non-invasive experimental procedures: e.g. cohabitation with infected hosts, infection by immersion.	References that reported co-infections or extreme stress conditions were noted as such and were interpreted with caution.

#### 2.2. Stage 2: Criteria to determine whether the pathogenic agent has been adequately identified:

Table 2 describes the pathogen identification methods for infection with TiLV used by the *ad hoc* Group when applying Stage 2 to assess susceptibility to infection with TiLV, as well as some considerations. These criteria are consistent with identification methods for other listed diseases described in the *Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals* (the *Aquatic Manual*), as well as consistent with the final report of the WOAH *ad hoc* Group on TiLV (<a href="https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/standards/standard-setting-process/ad-hoc-groups/#ui-id-3">https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/standards/standard-setting-process/ad-hoc-groups/#ui-id-3</a>).

Table 2: Pathogen identification for infection with TiLV

Pathogen Identification (TiLV)	Considerations
Specific TaqMan RT-qPCR (e.g. Waiyamitra et al., 2018; Megarani et al., 2022)	Nested RT-PCR is prone to contamination and sometimes difficult to interpret.
OR	
RT-PCR, SYBR green RT-qPCR, or nested RT-PCR, if followed by sequence analysis (e.g. Eyngor et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2017b)	
OR	
Positive results with more than one set of primers targeting different regions of the genome using RT-PCR, SYBR green RT-qPCR, or nested RT-PCR (e.g. Eyngor <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Dong <i>et al.</i> , 2017b) OR	
In situ hybridisation using a TiLV-specific probe (Dong et al., 2017a)	

# 2.3. Stage 3: Criteria to determine whether the evidence indicates that presence of the pathogenic agent constitutes an infection:

Table 3 describes the evidence of infection with TiLV, used by the *ad hoc* Group when applying Stage 3 to susceptibility to infection with TiLV.

Table 3: Evidence of infection with TiLV

Evidence of infection										
A: Replication	B: Viability / Infectivity	C: Pathology / Clinical signs**	D: Location							
<ol> <li>Sequential virus titration over time</li> <li>DR</li> <li>Demonstration of increasing copy number over time by RT-qPCR with confirmatory PCR/sequencing</li> <li>TEM showing virions in host cells</li> <li>In-situ products (e.g. antigens) of virus replication detected (e.g. by IHC)*</li> </ol>	1. Isolation by cell culture OR 2. Cohabitation with passage to a susceptible host	<ol> <li>Mortality and/or abnormal behaviour such as: lethargy, loss of appetite AND Gross Pathology such as:         <ul> <li>Exophthalmia</li> <li>Changes in body colour</li> <li>Skin erosion resulting in haemorrhagic dermal lesions Scale protrusion</li> <li>Abdominal distension (due to ascites)</li> <li>Enlargement of internal organs</li> <li>Congestion of liver, kidney, spleen, brain and gills</li> </ul> </li> <li>OR</li> <li>Histopathological changes such as:         <ul> <li>Lesions in the brain</li> <li>Ocular inflammation</li> <li>Syncytia and/or inclusion bodies in epithelial hepatocytes</li> </ul> </li> <li>OR</li> <li>Mortality in experimental virus-exposed group but not in negative control group</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Infection found in gill lamellae or intestine***, or visceral organs</li> <li>Pathogen identification in brain, eyes or visceral organs</li> </ol>							

<sup>\*</sup> Considered evidence of replication due to the high load of antigen that would need to be present for detection

<sup>\*\*</sup> Pathology/Clinical signs may be non-specific, variable and include some or all of the characteristics listed.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> As demonstrated by histology, immunohistochemistry (IHC) or in-situ hybridisation (ISH).

#### 3. Scoring and assessments

Table 4 describes the different scores and outcomes of the assessments undertaken by the ad hoc Group.

Table 4: Scores

Score	Outcome
1	Species assessed as susceptible (as described in Article 1.5.7.). These species were proposed for inclusion in Article 10.11.2. of Chapter 10.11., Infection with TiLV, of the <i>Aquatic Code</i> and Section 2.2.1. of Chapter 2.3.X., Infection with TiLV, of the <i>Aquatic Manual</i> .
2	Species assessed as having incomplete evidence for susceptibility (as described in Article 1.5.8.) were proposed for inclusion in Section 2.2.2., Species with incomplete evidence for susceptibility of Chapter 2.3.X., Infection with TiLV, of the <i>Aquatic Manual</i> .
3	Species assessed as having unresolved or conflicting information. These species were not proposed for inclusion in the Aquatic Manual.
	Species assessed as having pathogen-specific positive PCR results but not having demonstrated active infection. These species were proposed for inclusion in the second paragraph in Section 2.2.2. Species with incomplete evidence for susceptibility of Chapter 2.3.X. Infection with TiLV, of the <i>Aquatic Manual</i> .
4	Species assessed as non-susceptible.
NS	Species not scored due to insufficient or irrelevant information.

Table 5 summarises the assessments for host susceptibility to infection with TiLV undertaken by the *ad hoc* Group together with the outcomes and relevant references. For Stage 3, as described in Chapter 1.5. of the *Aquatic Code*, evidence to support criterion A alone was sufficient to determine infection. In the absence of evidence to meet criterion A, satisfying at least two of criteria B, C or D were required to determine evidence of infection.

Table 5: Assessments for infection with TiLV

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of infection	Stage 2: Pathogen Identification	Stage 3: Evidence of Infection				Outcome	References
					Α	В	С	D		
				Score 1						
		blue-Nile tilapia hybrid	N	RT-qPCR, SYBR green RT-qPCR and sequence analysis	ND	YES	ND	YES	1	Abbadi <i>et al</i> ., 2023
Cichlidae			N	nested RT-PCR and SYBR green RT- qPCR	ND	YES	ND	YES	1	Tsofack et al., 2016
			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	l <sub>1</sub>	l <sub>1</sub>	YES	YES	1	Eyngor <i>et al.</i> , 2014

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of infection	Stage 2: Pathogen Identification	Stage 3: Evidence of Infection				Outcome	References
					Α	В	С	D		
	Oreochromis mossambicus	Mozambique tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	YES	YES	YES	1 <sup>2</sup>	Suresh et al., 2023
			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	YES	YES	1	Chaput <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	Oreochromis niloticus	Nile tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	YES	YES	YES	1	Behera <i>et al.</i> , 2018
			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	YES	ND	YES	YES	1	del-Pozo et al., 2016
	Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus	red hybrid tilapia <sup>3</sup>	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	YES	YES	14	Amal <i>et al.</i> , 2018
	Sarotherodon galilaeus	mango tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	Eyngor et al., 2014
				Score 2						
Cuprinidae	Barbonymus schwanenfeldii	tinfoil barb	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	YES	<b>I</b> 5	YES	1 <sup>6</sup>	Abdullah et al., 2022
Cyprinidae			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	ND	YES	3	Abdullah et al., 2018
				Score 3						
	Oreochromis aureus	blue tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis <sup>7</sup>	I <sup>1</sup>	I <sup>1</sup>	YES	l <sub>1</sub>	3	Eyngor et al., 2014
Cichlidae	Tilapia zillii	redbelly tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis <sup>7</sup>	I <sup>1</sup>	l <sub>1</sub>	YES	l <sub>1</sub>	3	Eyngor et al., 2014
	Tristramella simonis	Tvarnun simon	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis <sup>7</sup>	Į <sup>1</sup>	Į <sup>1</sup>	YES	l <sub>1</sub>	3	Eyngor et al., 2014
Latidae	Lates calcarifer	barramundi	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	NO	YES	3	Piamsomboon & Wongtavatchal, 2021
Osphronemidae	Osphronemus goramy	giant gourami	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	ND	ND8	3	Chiamkunakorn <i>et</i> al., 2019

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of infection	Stage 2: Pathogen Identification	Stage Infecti	3: Evide	ence of		Outcome	References
					Α	В	С	D		
		No	t scored (NS) because	e pathogen ID was inc	onclusi	ve				
	Cyprinus carpio	common carp	N	Negative results by RT-PCR	ND	ND	ND	NO <sup>9</sup>	NS	Chaput <i>et al.</i> , 2020
Cuprinida	Hypophthalmichthys molitrix	silver carp	N	Negative results by RT-PCR <sup>10</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	NS	Chiamkunakorn et al., 2019
Cyprinidae	Labeo rohita	roho labeo	N	Negative results by RT-PCR	ND	ND	ND	NO <sup>9</sup>	NS	Chaput et al., 2020
			N	Negative results by RT-PCR <sup>10</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	NS	Chiamkunakorn <i>et</i> al., 2019
Danionidae	Danio regio	zebra danio	EI	stock virus (VETKU- TV01) <sup>11</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NS	Widziolek <i>et al.</i> , 2021
Pangasiidae	Pangasius bocourti	Basa catfish	N	Negative results by RT-PCR	ND	ND	ND	NO <sup>9</sup>	NS	Chaput <i>et al.</i> , 2020
Salmonidae	Oncorhynchus mykiss	rainbow trout	EI	stock virus (VETKU- TV01) <sup>11</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NS	Adamek et al., 2023
Saimoniude	Salmo trutta	sea trout	EI	stock virus (VETKU- TV01) <sup>11</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NS	Adamek <i>et al.</i> , 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This study investigated several host species and not all results were clearly assigned to a specific host species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The *ad hoc* Group determined that the evidence in the paper scored '1' is sufficient for a final assessment of '1' as the study represents natural infections in wild fish from three different regions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> No common name was available on FAOTerm or <u>www.fishbase.se</u> for hybrids of *Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus* however the *ad hoc* Group proposed using red hybrid tilapia as this is the common name used in the region where these hybrids are predominantly cultured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The *ad hoc* Group determined that the evidence provided in the single paper scored '1' is sufficient for a final assessment of '1' for the following reasons. The *ad hoc* Group considered that both parent species were assessed as having a final score of '1' (Table 5) and that this should be considered as supporting evidence for the susceptibility of the hybrid species. As additional supportive evidence, the *ad hoc* Group considered studies where the species was identified as red hybrid tilapia but scientific name was only identified to the genus level (*Oreochromis* sp.) as it is a generally accepted common name for the species (Table 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Clinical signs were observed; however these cannot be specifically attributed to TiLV as there were bacterial co-infections (*Aeromonas* spp., *Plesiomonas* spp., *Edwarsiella* spp.,) in these fish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The *ad hoc* Group determined that the evidence in the paper scored '1' was not sufficient for a final assessment of '1' as there were bacterial co-infections. The only other study for this species did not have sufficient evidence to corroborate susceptibility based on the criteria. The *ad hoc* Group assessed this species as an overall score of '2'.

#### Additional note regarding red hybrid tilapia

Table 6 summarises the assessments for host susceptibility to infection with TiLV undertaken by the *ad hoc* Group for studies that referred to 'red hybrid tilapia' without identification of the taxonomic name to the level of species of the animals used in the study. The *ad hoc* Group did not include these assessments in Table 5 as the taxonomic name of the species could not be confirmed however provided the assessments for information. The *ad hoc* Group did consider this as supportive evidence when assigning the final score for *Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus* as the common name is generally accepted for this particular hybrid cross.

Table 6: Assessments for infection with TiLV in red hybrid tilapia

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Stage 1: Route of	Stage 2: Pathogen	Stage 3	: Eviden	ce of Inf	ection	Outcome	References
			infection	Identification	Α	В	С	D		
	Score 1									
	Oreochromis sp.	red hybrid tilapia	N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	YES	ND	YES	YES	1	Dong <i>et al.</i> , 2017a
Cichlidae			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	ND	YES	YES	1	Surachetpong et al., 2017
			N	RT-PCR and sequence analysis	ND	YES	YES	YES	1	Tattiyapong et al., 2017b

#### **Assessment Table Key**

N: Natural infection

E: Experimental (non-invasive)

EI: Experimental invasive

YES: Demonstrates criterion is met

NO: Criterion is not met

I: Inconclusive

ND: Not determined

NS: Not scored

N/A: Not applicable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The authors of the study confirmed pathogen identification from this host species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Blood samples were screened for TiLV using RT-PCR and were found to be positive for the pathogen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Heart, liver, spleen, kidney, gill, gut, gonad and skin tissues were screened for TiLV using RT-PCR and were found to be negative for the pathogen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Blood samples were screened for TiLV using RT-PCR and were found to be negative for the pathogen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The study used a stock strain from Thailand (VETKU-TV01) described in Tattiyapong et al., 2017b.

#### 4. Results

The ad hoc Group agreed that five species, blue-Nile tilapia hybrid (Oreochromis aureus x O. niloticus), mango tilapia (Sarotherodon galilaeus), Mozambique tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus), Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), and red hybrid tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus) meet the criteria for listing as susceptible to infection with TiLV in accordance with Chapter 1.5. and therefore should be proposed to be included in Article 10.11.2. of the Aquatic Code. All of these species are currently listed in Article 10.11.2. 'under study'.

Tinfoil barb (*Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*) which is currently listed in Article 10.11.2. 'under study' was assessed as having incomplete evidence of susceptibility and is therefore proposed to be included in Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.3.X., Infection with TiLV of the *Aquatic Manual*.

Two species, barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) and giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*), were assessed as having pathogen-specific positive PCR results but not having demonstrated active infection. Therefore, these species were proposed to be included in the second paragraph of Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.3.X., Infection with TiLV of the *Aquatic Manual*.

Three species, blue tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus*), redbelly tilapia (*Tilapia zillii*) and Tvarnun simon (*Tristramella simonis*) which are currently listed in Article 10.11.2. 'under study' could not be assessed due to insufficient evidence and were not scored.

#### 5. Naming convention for susceptible species

The scientific names of the host species are in accordance with www.fishbase.se.

The common names of fish species are in accordance with FAOTERM (http://www.fao.org/faoterm/collection/faoterm/en/). Where the common fish name was not found in FAOTERM, the naming was done in accordance with <a href="https://www.fishbase.se">www.fishbase.se</a>.

#### 6. Comments on the ad hoc Group's rationale and decision-making

'Inconclusive' was used to distinguish situations where more information was provided than would have been assessed as 'Non-determined' but the *ad hoc* Group could not conclude that the criterion was met. Each time inconclusive was used within the assessment table, the *ad hoc* Group provided additional information in a footnote. The *ad hoc* Group treated 'Inconclusive' as 'Non-Determined' when making their final assessment.

The *ad hoc* Group agreed that while the ideal situation was two papers with a score of '1', a single robust study scoring '1' was also enough to conclude susceptibility of a species in the absence of conflicting evidence. Where sampling strategy was distributed across seasons or locations, and/or where a single paper provided all evidence (molecular with corresponding evidence from histology within the same animals) the *ad hoc* Group considered that one strong paper was sufficient to conclude susceptibility of a species. Additional studies were still reviewed to check for any supporting or conflicting evidence. When additional papers were identified but the *ad hoc* Group did not feel that they were necessary to assess comprehensively because the species had already been determined as susceptible by other studies, these studies were retained in the list of references only.

A number of studies were unclear as to the species of fish used in their study; for example, authors referred to 'Tilapia' or 'red hybrid tilapia' without giving the scientific name of the species or species making up the cross. This made it difficult to assign these particular studies to host species. Some studies assessed multiple species without assigning results to specific species making it difficult to assess a single host species. Authors were contacted to determine if the identity of the fish used in these studies could be confirmed. Where identity could not be confirmed, these papers were not included in the assessments for susceptibility with the exception of those referenced in table 6.

#### 7. Article 1.5.9 Listing of Susceptible species at a taxonomic ranking of Genus or Higher

The *ad hoc* Group considered Article 1.5.9, Listing of susceptible species at a taxonomic ranking of Genus or higher in the *Aquatic Code*, and determined that it was not applicable for the susceptible host species for TiLV identified at this time.

#### 8. References

ABBADI, M., BASSO, A., BIASINI, L., QUARTESAN, R., BURATIN, A., DAVIDOVICH, N. & TOFFAN, A. (2023). Tilapia lake virus: A structured phylogenetic approach. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **14**, 1069300.

ABDULLAH, A., PAZAI, A.M.M., RIDZUAN, M.S.M., SUDIRWAN, F., HASHIM, S., ABAS, A., MURNI, M., ROLI, Z., RAMLY, R. & FIRDAUS-NAWI, M. (2022). Persistent detection of tilapia lake virus in wild tilapia and tinfoil barbs. *Veterinary World*, **15(4)**, 1097-1106.

ABDULLAH, A., RAMLY, R., RIDZWAN, M.S.M., SUDIRWAN, F., ABAS, A., AHMAD, K., MURNI, M. & KUA, B.C. (2018). First detection of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) in wild river carp (*Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*) at Timah Tasoh Lake, Malaysia. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **41(9)**, 1459-1462.

ADAMEK, M., MATRAS, M., SURACHETPONG, W., RAKUS, K., STACHNIK, M., BAUER, J., FALCO, A., JUNG-SCHROERS, V., PIEWBANG, C., TECHANGAMSUWAN, S., EL RAHMAN, S.A., PALEY, R., REICHERT, M. & STEINHAGEN, D. (2022). How susceptible are rainbow trout and brown trout to infection with tilapia lake virus at increased water temperature - Is there any potential for climate change driven host jump? *Aquaculture*, **571**, 739469.

AMAL, M.N.A., KOH, C.B., NURLIYANA, M., SUHAIBA, M., NOR-AMALINA, Z., SANTHA, S., DIYANI-NADHIRAH, K.P., YUSOF, M.T., INA-SALWANY, M.Y. & ZAMRI-SAAD, M. (2018). A case of natural co-infection of tilapia lake virus and *Aeromonas veronii* in a Malaysian red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) farm experiencing high mortality. *Aquaculture*, **485**, 12-16.

CHAPUT, D.L., BASS, D., ALAM, M.M., AL HASAN, N., STENTIFORD, G.D., VAN AERLE, R., MOORE, K., BIGNELL, J.P., MAHFUJUL HAQUE, M. & TYLER, C.R. (2020). The segment matters: Probable reassortment of tilapia lake virus (TILV) complicates phylogenetic analysis and inference of geographical origin of new isolate from Bangladesh. *Viruses*, **12(3)**, 258.

CHIAMKUNAKORN, C., MACHIMBIRIKE, V.I., SENAPIN, S., KHUNRAE, P., DONG, H.T. & RATTANAROJPONG, T. (2019). Blood and liver biopsy for the non-destructive screening of tilapia lake virus. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **42**, 1629-1636.

DEL-POZO, J., MISHRA, N., KABUUSU, R., CHEETHAM, S., ELDAR, A., BACHARACH, E., LIPKIN, W.I., & FERGUSON, H.W. (2016). Syncytial hepatitis of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) is associated with orthomyxovirus-like virions in hepatocytes. *Veterinary Pathology*, **54(1)**, 164-170.

DONG, H.T., SIRIROOB, S., MEEMETTA, W., SANTIMANAWONG, W., GANGNONNGIW, W., PIRARAT, N., KHUNRAE, P., RATTANAROJPONG, T., VANICHVIRIYAKIT, R, & SENAPIN, S. (2017a). Emergence of tilapia lake virus in Thailand and an alternative semi-nested RT-PCR for detection. *Aquaculture*, **476**, 111-118.

DONG, H.T., ATAGUBA, G.A., KHUNRAE, P., RATTANAROJPONG, T. & SENAPIN, S. (2017b). Evidence of TiLV infection in tilapia hatcheries from 2012 to 2017 reveals probably global spread of the disease. *Aquaculture*, **479**, 579-583.

EYNGOR, M., ZAMOSTIANO, R., TSOFACK, J.E.K., BERKOWITZ, A., BERCOVIER, H., TINMAN, S., LEV, M., HURVITZ, A., GALEOTTI, M., BACHARACH, E. & ELDAR, A. (2014). Identification of a novel RNA virus lethal to tilapia. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. **52**, 4137.

MEGARANI, D.V., AL-HUSSINEE, L., SUBRAMANIAM, K., SRIWANAYOS, P., IMNOI, K., KELEHER, B., NICHOLSON, P., SURACHETPONG, W., TATTIYAPONG, P., HICK, P., GUSTAFSON, L.L. & WALKTZEK, T.B. (2022). Development of a TaqMan quantitative reverse transcription PCR assay to detect tilapia lake virus. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, **152**, 147-158.

PIAMSOMBOON, P. & WONGTAVATCHAI, J. (2021). Detection of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) in healthy fish from the pre-existing disease environment using different RT-PCR methods. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **21(4)**, 205-209.

SURACHETPONG, W., JANETANAKIT, T., NONTHABENJAWAN, N., TATTIYAPONG, P., SIRIKANCHANA, K. & AMONSIN, A. (2017). Outbreaks of tilapia lake virus infection, Thailand, 2015-2016. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, **23(6)**, 1115-1132.

TATTIYAPONG, P., SIRIKANCHANA, K. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2017a). Development and validation of a reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction for tilapia lake virus detection in clinical samples and experimentally challenged fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **41**, 255-261.

TATTIYAPONG, P., DACHAVICHITLEAD, W. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2017b). Experimental infection of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and red tilapia (Oreochromis spp.). *Veterinary Microbiology*, **207**, 170-177.

TSOFACK, J.E.K., ZAMOSTIANO, R., WATTED, S., BERKOWITZ, A., ROSENBLUTH, E., MICHRA, N., BRIESE, T., LIPKIN, W.I., KABUUSU, R.M., FERGUSON, H., DEL POZO, J., ELDAR, A. & BACHARACH, E. (2017). Detection of tilapia lake virus in clinical samples by culturing and nested reverse transcription-PCR. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **55**, 759.

WAIYAMITRA, P., TATTIYAPONG, P., SIRIKANCHANA, K., MONGKOLSUK, S., NICHOLSON, P. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2018). A TaqMan RT-qPCR assay for tilapia lake virus (TiLV) detection in tilapia. *Aquaculture*, **497**, 184-188.

WIDZIOLEK, M., JANIK, K., MOJZESZ, M., POORANACHANDRAN, N., ADAMEK, M., PECIO, A., SURACHETPONG, W., LEVRAUD, J.P., BOUDINOT, P., CHADZINSKA, M. & RAKUS, K. (2021). Type I interferon-dependent response of zebrafish larvae during tilapia lake virus (TiLV) infection. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, **116**, 103936.

#### Other references reviewed by the ad hoc Group but not referred to in the report above:

AHASAN, M.S., KELEHER, W., GIRAY, C., PERRY, B., SURACHETPONG, W., NICHOLSON, P., AL-HUSSINEE, L., SUBRAMANIAM, K. & WALTZEK, T.B. (2020). Genomic characterization of tilapia lake virus isolates recovered from moribund Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) on a farm in the United States. *Microbiology Resource Announcements*, **9(4)**, e01368-19.

AICH, N., PAUL, A., CHOUDHURY, T.G. & SAHA, H. (2022). Tilapia lake virus (TiLV) disease: Current understanding of status. *Aguaculture and Fisheries*, **7**, 7-17.

BACHARACH, E., MISHRA, N., BRIESE, T., ZODY, M. C., KEMBOU TSOFACK, J. E., ZAMOSTIANO, R., BERKOWITZ, A., NG, J., NITIDO, A., CORVELO, A., TOUSSAINT, N.C., NIELSEN, S.C.A., HORNIG, M., DEL POZO, J., BLOOM, T., FERGUSON, H., ELDAR, A. & LIPKIN, W. I. (2016). Characterization of a novel orthomyxo-like virus causing mass die-offs of tilapia. *mBio*, **7(2)**, e00431–16.

BARRIA, A., TRINH, T.Q., MAHMUDDIN, M., BENZIE, J.A.H., CHADAG, V.M. & HOUSTON, R.D. (2020). Genetic parameters for resistance to tilapia lake virus (TiLV) in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aguaculture*, 522, 735126.

BEHERA, B.K., PRADHAN, P.K., SWAMINATHAN, T.R., SOOD, N., PARIA, P., DAS, A., VERMA, D.K., KUMAR, R., YADAV, M.K., DEV, A.K., PARIDA, P.K., DAS, B.K., LAL, K.K. & JENA, J.K. (2018). Emergence of tilapia lake virus associated with mortalities of farmed Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus 1758) in India. *Aquaculture*, **484**, 168–174.

BWALYA, P., HANG'OMBE, B.M., MUTOLOKI, S., EVENSEN, O., STORE, S. & STORE, P. (2016). Use of DNA sequencing to map *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus iniae* infections in farmed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) on Lake Kariba in Zambia. *Frontiers Veterinary Science Conference Abstract: AquaEpi I - 2016*.

CASTANEDA, A.E., FERIA, M.A., TOLEDO, O.E., CASTILLO, D., CUEVA, M.D. & MOTTE, E. (2020). Detection of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) by semi-nested RT-PCR in farmed tilapias from two regions of Peru. *Rev Inv Vet Peru*, **31(2)**: e16158.

CONTRERAS, H., VALLEJO, A., MATTAR, S. RUIZ, L., GUZMAN, C. & CALDERON, A. (2021). First report of tilapia lake virus emergence in fish farms in the department of Cordoba, Colombia. *Veterinary World*, **14(4)**, 865-872.

DONG, H.T., NGUYEN, V.V., LE, H.D., SANGSURIYA, P., JITRAKORN, S., SAKSMERPROME, V., SENAPIN, S. & RODKHUM, C. (2015). Naturally concurrent infections of bacterial and viral pathogens in disease outbreaks in cultured Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) farms. *Aquaculture*, **448**, 427-435.

FATHI, M., DICKSON, C., DICKSON, M., LESCHEN, W., BAILY, J., MUIR, F., ULRICH, K., & WEIDMANN, M. (2017). Identification of tilapia lake virus in Egypt in Nile tilapia affected by 'summer mortality' syndrome. *Aquaculture*, **472**, 430-432.

FERGUSON, H.W., KABUUSU, R., BELTRAN, S., REYES, E., LINCE, J.A., & DEL POZO, J. (2014). Syncytial hepatitis of farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.): A case report. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **37(6)**, 583–589.

GOPHEN, M., SONIN, O., LEV, M. & SNOVSKY, G. (2015). Regulated fishery is beneficial for the sustainability of fish population in Lake Kinneret (Israel). *Open Journal of Ecology*, **5**, 513–527.

JAEMWIMOL, P., SIRIKANCHANA, K., TATTIYAPONG, P., MONGKOLSUK, S. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2019). Virucidal effects of common disinfectants against tilapia lake virus. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **42(10)**, 1383–1389.

JAEMWIMOL, P., RAWIWAN, P., TATTIYAPONG, P., SAENGNUAL P., KAMLANGEE, A. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2018). Susceptibility of important warm water fish species to tilapia lake virus (TilV) infection. *Aguaculture*, **497**, 462-468.

KABUUSU, R.M., AIRE, A.T., STROUP, D.F., MACPHERSON, C.N.L. & FERGUSON, H.W. (2017). Production-level risk factors for syncytial hepatitis in farmed tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L). *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **41(1)**, 1-6.

KOESHARYANI, I., GARDENIA, L., WIDOWATI, Z., KHUMAIRA, K. & RUSTIANTI, D. (2018). Studi kasus infeksi tilapia lake virus (TiLV) pada ikan nila (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Jurnal Riset Akuakultur*, **13(1)**, 85–92.

LIAMNIMITR, P., THAMMATORN, W., U-THOOMPORM, S., TATTIYAPONG, P. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2018). Non-lethal sampling for tilapia lake virus detection by RT-qPCR and cell culture. *Aquaculture*, **486**, 75-80.

MUGIMBA, K.K., TAL, S., DUBEY, S., MUTOLOKI, S., DISHON, A., EVENSEN, Ø. & MUNANG'ANDU, H.M. (2019). Gray (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. aureus*) and red (*Oreochromis* spp.) tilapia show equal susceptibility and proinflammatory cytokine responses to experimental tilapia lake virus infection. *Viruses*, **11**, 893.

MUGIMBA, K.K., CHENGULA, A.A. & WAMALA, S. (2018). Detection of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) infection by PCR in farmed and wild Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) from Lake Victoria. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **41(8)**, 1181–1189.

NANTHINI, R., MAJEED, S.A., VIMAL, S., TAJU, G., SIVAKUMAR, S., KUMAR, S.S., PILLAI, D., SNEHA, K.G., RAKESH, C.G. & HAMEED, A.S.S. (2019). In vitro propagation of tilapia lake virus in cell lines developed from *Oreochromis mossambicus*. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **42(11)**, 1543-1552.

NICHOLSON, P., MON-ON, N., JAEMWIMOL, P., TATTIYAPONG, P. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2020). Co-infection of tilapia lake virus and *Aeromonas hydrophila* synergistically increased mortality and worsened the disease severity in tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.). *Aquaculture*, **520**, 734746.

PHUSANTISAMPAN, T., TATTIYAPONG, P., MUKRAKULCHAROEN, P., SRIARIYANUN M. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2019). Rapid detection of tilapia lake virus using a lone-step reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. *Aquaculture*, **507**, 35-39.

PRADHAN, P.K., PARIAA, A., YADAV, M.D., VERMAA, D.K., GUPTAA, S., SWAMINATHAN, T.R., RATHORE, G., SOOD, N. & LAL, K.K. (2020). Susceptibility of Indian major carp *Labeo rohita* to tilapia lake virus. *Aguaculture*, **515**, 734567.

RAKUS, K., MOJZESZ, M., WIDZIOLEK-POORANACHANDRAN, M., POORANACHANDRAN, N., TEITGE, F., SURACHETPONG, W., CHADZINSKA, M., STEINHAGEN, D. & ADAMEK, M. (2020). Antiviral response of adult zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) during tilapia lake virus (TiLV) infection. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, **101**, 1-8.

SARANYA, S.R. & SUDHAKARAN, R. (2020). Report on prevalence of tilapia lake virus infection in tilapia fishes. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, **27**, 101665.

SENAPIN, S., SHYAM, K.U., MEEMETTA, W., RATTANAROJPONG, T. & DONG, H.T. (2018). Inapparent infection cases of tilapia lake virus (TiLV) in farmed tilapia. *Aquaculture*, **487**, 51-55.

SKORNIK, R., BEHAR, A., EYNGOR, M., MARKOVICH, M.P., WAJSBROT, N., KLEMENT, E. & DAVIDOVICH, N. (2021). Temporal trends of tilapia lake virus disease in Israel, 2017-2018. *Transboundary and Emerging diseases*, **68(6)**, 3025-3033.

THAMMATORN, W., RAWIWAN, P. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2019). Minimal risk of tilapia lake virus transmission via frozen tilapia fillets. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **42(1)**, 3-9.

THANGARAJ, R.S., RAVI, C., KUMAR, R., DHARMARATNAM, A., SAIDMUHAMMED, B.V., PRADHAN, P.K. & SOOD, N. (2018). Derivation of two tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cell lines for efficient propagation of tilapia lake virus (TiLV). *Aquaculture*, **492**, 206-214.

WAIYAMITRA, P., PIEWBANG, C., TECHANGAMSUWAN, S., LIEW, W.C. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2021). Infection of Tilapia tilapinevirus in Mozambique tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*), a globally vulnerable fish species. *Viruses*, **13(6)**, 1104.

YAMKASEM, J., ROY, S.R.K., KHEMTHONG, M., GARDNER, I.A. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2021a). Diagnostic sensitivity of pooled samples for detection of tilapia lake and application to the estimation of within farm prevalence. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, **68(6)**, 3519-3528.

YAMKASEM, J., PIEWBANG, C., TECHANGAMSUWAN, S., PIEREZAN, F., SOTO, E. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2021b). Susceptibility of ornamental African cichlids *Aulonocara* spp. to experimental infection with Tilapia lake virus. *Aguaculture*, **542**, 736920.

YAMKASEM, J., TATTIYAPONG, P., KAMLANGDEE, A. & SURACHETPONG, W. (2019). Evidence of potential vertical transmission of tilapia lake virus. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **42(9)**, 1293–1300.

ZENG, W., WANG, Y., HU, H., WANG, Q., BERGMANN, S.M., WANG, Y., LI, B., LV, Y., LI, H., YIN, J. & LI, Y. (2021). Cell culture - derived tilapia lake virus-inactivated vaccine containing montanide adjuvant provides high protection against viral challenge for tilapia. *Viruses*, **9**, 86.

.../Annexes

#### **Annex 1. List of Participants**

## MEETING OF THE WOAH AD HOC GROUP ON SUSCEPTIBILITY OF FISH SPECIES TO WOAH LISTED DISEASES

12, 13 and 19 April 2023 (virtual)

#### **List of Participants**

#### MEMBERS OF THE AD HOC GROUP

Dr Mark Crane (Chair)
CSIRO Honorary Fellow
Australian Centre for Disease
Preparedness (ACDP) CSIRO
Geelong,
AUSTRALIA

**Dr Lori Gustafson**National Surveillance Unit
USDA/APHIS/VS/CEAH
Fort Collins,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**Dr Yasuhiko Kawato**Fisheries Technology Institute
Japan Fisheries Research and
Education Agency
Minamiise
JAPAN

**Dr Niels Jørgen Olesen** Technical University of

Denmark,

National Institute of Aquatic

Resources, Lyngby, DENMARK Dr Sophie St-Hilaire

College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences City University of Hong Kong

Hong Kong,

CHINA (People's Republic of)

#### **MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION**

Dr Prof. Hong Liu

Animal and Plant Inspection and Quarantine Technical Center General Administration of Customs, Shenzhen City CHINA (People's Rep of)

#### **WOAH HEADQUARTERS**

**Dr Bernita Giffin**Scientific Coordinator for Aquatic Animal Health
Standards Department

Dr Kathleen Frisch Scientific Coordinator for Aquatic Animal Health Standards Department

#### Annex 2. Terms of Reference

## MEETING OF THE WOAH AD HOC GROUP ON SUSCEPTIBILITY OF FISH SPECIES TO WOAH LISTED DISEASES

12, 13 and 19 April 2023 (virtual)

#### Terms of reference

#### **Background**

Chapter 1.5. Criteria for listing species as susceptible to infection with a specific pathogenic agent of the *Aquatic Code*, provides criteria for determining which host species are listed as susceptible in Article X.X.2. of each disease-specific chapter in the *Aquatic Code*.

Assessments for all of the WOAH listed diseases are being undertaken progressively by *ad hoc* Groups. Once completed, the revised list of susceptible species in the relevant Article X.X.2. of the *Aquatic Code* is circulated for Member comment and then presented for adoption.

Species, where there is some evidence of susceptibility but insufficient evidence to demonstrate susceptibility are included in the relevant disease-specific chapter in the *Aquatic Manual*.

The *ad hoc* Group on Susceptibility of fish species to infection with WOAH listed diseases has undertaken assessments for all of the WOAH listed diseases of fish, except for infection with tilapia lake virus and infection with *Aphanomyces invadans* (epizootic ulcerative syndrome).

#### **Purpose**

The ad hoc Group on Susceptibility of fish species to infection with WOAH listed diseases will undertake assessments for infection with tilapia lake virus in fish.

#### **Terms of Reference**

- 1) Review relevant literature documenting susceptibility of species for infection with tilapia lake virus and apply criteria, as outlined in Chapter 1.5. Criteria for listing species as susceptible to infection with a specific pathogen, to potential host species.
- 2) Determine susceptible species for infection with tilapia lake virus based on Article 1.5.7.
- 3) Determine species with incomplete evidence for susceptibility for infection with tilapia lake virus based on Article 1.5.8.

#### Expected outputs of the ad hoc Group

- 1) Propose a list of susceptible species for inclusion in the Article 10.X.2. of Chapter 10.X, Infection with tilapia lake virus, in the *Aquatic Code*.
- 2) Propose a list of species with incomplete evidence for susceptibility for inclusion in Section 2.2.2 and Section 2.2.2. of Chapter 2.3.X. Infection with tilapia lake virus of the *Aquatic Manual* (to be developed).
- 3) A report for consideration by the Aquatic Animals Commission at its September 2023 meeting.

\_\_\_\_\_