HEARTWATER

Aetiology Epidemiology Diagnosis Prevention and Control References

AETIOLOGY

Classification of the causative agent

Heartwater (HW) is caused by *Ehrlichia ruminantium* (formerly *Cowdria ruminantium*), a small Gram-negative, pleomorphic coccus, obligate intracellular bacterium. Based on *16S ribosomal DNA* and *groESL heat shock operon* genes comparisons, *Ehrlichia ruminantium* belongs to the order *Rickettsiales* and the family *Anaplasmataceae*. In 2013, the order *Rickettsiales* was reorganised through *16S* and *23S* gene comparisons and it includes the families *Rickettsiaceae*, *Anaplasmataceae* and *Midichloriaceae*. The four genera in the *Anaplasmataceae* family are *Ehrlichia*, *Anaplasma*, *Wolbachia* and *Neorickettsia*. The genus *Ehrlichia* clusters *E. ruminantium*, *E. chaffeensis*, *E. canis, Panola Moutain Ehrlichia* and *E. muris*. Numerous strains of *E. ruminantium* are present in the field displaying a high genetic and antigenic diversity due to recombination events.

Strains of *E. ruminantium* are very diverse and vary in virulence: while some strains are highly virulent, others appear to be less-pathogenic. *Ehrlichia ruminantium* has a high level of genomic plasticity. Several different genotypes can co-exist in a geographical area, and may recombine to form new strains.

Resistance to physical and chemical action

Ehrlichia ruminantium is an obligate intracellular bacterium and does not survive outside the host for more than a few hours. It is heat labile and loses its viability and infectivity in less than 4 hours at room temperature. This disease can only be transmitted through a tick vector, therefore, parameters associated with resistance to physical and chemical actions (i.e. temperature, chemical/disinfectants, and environmental survival) are not applicable.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

- HW occurs only where *Amblyomma* tick vectors are present
- Epidemiology depends on the interaction of the tick vector, causative agent, and vertebrate hosts
  - tick vector: herd tick infestation rate and *Ehrlichia* tick infection rates, seasonal changes influencing tick abundance and activity, and intensity of tick control
  - causative agent: differing genotypes affecting virulence or limiting cross-protection between strains
  - vertebrate host: availability/presence of wild animal reservoirs, domestic ruminants: age, breed and genetic resistance
- Because of its extreme fragility, the principal mode of bringing the disease into an area is by introduction of infected ticks (i.e. by migratory birds) or asymptomatic carrier animals
- It is not known for how long wild or domestic ruminants can be a source of infection for ticks in nature, but it may be many months
- Ticks are a robust reservoir of *E. ruminantium*, and infection can persist in them for at least 15 months
  - careful dipping and hand-dressing followed by inspection to ensure the absence of ticks is recommended for animals in transit to heartwater free areas

Hosts

- All domestic and wild ruminants can be infected; the former appear to be the most susceptible
  - indigenous African domestic ruminants are usually more resistant to the disease than imported European breeds; wild animals could play a role as reservoir
- HW causes severe disease in small ruminants (sheep and goats) and milder disease in cattle. Genetic resistance against HW exists in some indigenous African breeds of sheep and goats, and inapparent disease in several species of antelope indigenous to Africa
  - *Bos indicus* (Zebu) cattle breeds are in general more resistant than *Bos taurus* (European) breeds
  - up to 80% of merino sheep may die, but the mortality rate can be only 6% in Persian or Afrikaner sheep
  - Creole, Angora and Saanen goats are also very susceptible to HW

- HW has caused mortality in the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in some situations
- Other species that have been shown to be susceptible are the blesbok (*Damaliscus albifrons*), the black wildebeest (*Connochaetes gnu*), the eland (*Taurotragus oryx oryx*), giraffe (*Giraffe camelopardalis*), greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), sable antelope (*Hippotragus niger*), sitatunga (*Tragelaphus spekii*), steenbok (*Raphicerus campestris*), and lechwe (*Kobus leche kafuensis*); it is believed that these species serve as reservoirs of HW and the disease in these animals is usually mild or undetectable
- Deaths in springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) in South Africa have been attributed to HW
- A number of non-African ruminants are susceptible to heartwater experimentally and include the Timor deer (*Cervus timorensis*) and chital (*Axis axis*) of southern Asia, and white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) of North America
    - *Amblyomma maculatum* and *A. cajennense* ticks are experimentally proven vectors of HW, and are common parasites of the white-tailed deer in the southern United States
- Rusa deer, white-tailed deer, springbok, chital, and timor deer, which are used in wildlife farming, seem to be the main wild ruminant species in which heartwater can have a significant economic impact
- Other species suspected to be susceptible to HW but lacking in definitive proof are nilgai (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*), Himalayan tahr (*Hemitragus jemlahicus*), barbary sheep (*Ammotragus lervia*), mouflon (*Ovis aries*), blackbuck (*Antilope cervicapra*), and white and black rhinoceros.
- It was once believed that the guinea fowl and leopard tortoises were the nonruminant hosts of *E. ruminantium*, but data have confirmed that these species are not susceptible and do not transmit to vector ticks that usually feed on them
- The scrub hare's susceptibility to infection is also not fully substantiated; although the striped mouse and the multimammate mouse have been shown to be susceptible to *E. ruminantium*, they are not hosts of the vector ticks and are not believed to play a role in the epidemiology of heartwater
- Some laboratory inbred strains of mice have been shown to be susceptible to *E. ruminantium* and have assisted in defining disease and immune mechanisms, but these are not indicated as important in disease maintenance

Transmission

- HW is transmitted trans-stadially by ticks of the genus *Amblyomma*, which are biological vectors of HW. Because the ticks may pick up the infection as larvae or nymphs and transmit it as nymphs or as adults, the infection can persist in the tick for at least 15 months; there is no trans-ovary transmission.
- Ticks become infected by feeding on acutely ill or sub clinically infected animals
- Of the 13 species capable of transmitting the disease, *A. variegatum* (tropical bont tick) is by far the most important because it is the most widespread; other major vector species are the bont tick *A. hebraeum* (in southern Africa), *A. gemma* and *A. lepidum* (in Somalia, East Africa and the Sudan).
    - *A. astrion* (mainly feed on buffalo) and *A. pomposum* (distributed in Angola, Congo [Dem. Rep. of the] and Central African Republic) are also natural vectors of the disease. Four other African ticks, *A. sparsum* (feed on reptiles and buffalo mainly), *A. cohaerans* (feed on African buffalo), *A. marmoreum* (adults occur on tortoises and immature stages on goats) and *A. tholloni* (adults feed on elephants) experimentally transmit HW.
- Three North American species of *Amblyomma* ticks also experimentally transmit HW: *A. maculatum* (the Gulf Coast tick), *A. cajennense* (the Cayenne tick) and *A. dissimile*, but none of these ticks has been incriminated so far in natural transmission of heartwater
    - *A. maculatum* is widely distributed in the eastern, southern, and western U.S., and feeds on ungulates (cattle, sheep, goats, horses, pigs, bison, donkeys, mules, white-tailed deer, sambar deer and axis deer), various carnivores, rodents and lagomorphs, marsupials, birds, and reptiles
        - *A. maculatum* was shown to be as efficient as A. *hebraeum*, and was susceptible to a wide range of *E. ruminantium* strains. *A. cajennense* has host preference similar to *A. maculatum* but is not as widely distributed and is a less efficient heartwater vector
        - *A. dissimile* feeds on reptiles and amphibians
- *Amblyomma* ticks are three-host ticks whose life cycles may take from 5 months to 4 years to complete
- While transmission of heartwater can be by adult and nymphal ticks in the field, in general adults prefer to feed on large ruminants (cattle) and nymphs on small ruminants (sheep and goats)
- Cattle egrets have been implicated in the dispersal of *Amblyomma* ticks (larvae and nymphs) in the Caribbean
- HW can be transmitted vertically and through colostrum of carrier dams
- Transmission can also occur experimentally by intravenous inoculation of blood, tick homogenates or cell culture material containing *E. ruminantium*

Sources of the agent

- *Amblyomma* ticks fed on an infected vertebrate host
- Whole blood or plasma of vertebrate host during the febrile reaction, but highest levels of agent occur during the second or third day of fever
- Colostrum containing infected cells (reticulo-endothelial cells and macrophages) has been speculated

Occurrence

Heartwater occurs in nearly all the sub-Saharan countries of Africa where *Amblyomma* ticks are present and in the surrounding islands: Madagascar, Reunion, Mauritius, Zanzibar, the Comoros Islands and Sao Tomé. The disease is also reported in the Caribbean (Guadeloupe, Marie-Galante and Antigua), from where it threatens the American mainland.

For more recent, detailed information on the occurrence of this disease worldwide, see the OIE World Animal Health Information Database (WAHID) Interface [http://www.oie.int/wahis/public.php?page=home]

DIAGNOSIS

The average incubation period in natural infections is 2–3 weeks, but can vary from 10 days to 1 month. The incubation period after intravenous blood inoculation is seven to 10 days in sheep and goats, and 10 to16 days in cattle. However the incubation is strongly dependent on the dose of infectious elementary bodies (infective extracellular form of the bacterium) inoculated as shown experimentally using *in-vitro* cultivated *E ruminantium*. The outcome can range from 100% death with high doses (calibrated dose of 30 000 live elementary bodies using bacteria viability kit induces 100% mortality) to 0% death with low doses, followed by strong protection of animals against homologous strains.

Clinical diagnosis

Heartwater occurs in four different clinical forms, determined by variations in host susceptibility, agent virulence and infective dose.

Peracute disease is usually seen in Africa in non-native breeds of sheep, cattle and goats. Heavily pregnant cows are particularly susceptible to this form. Peracute disease is characterised by sudden death preceded by a brief interval of fever, severe respiratory distress, hyperesthesia, lacrimation and, in some breeds of cattle, severe diarrhoea. Terminal convulsions may be seen. This form of heartwater is relatively rare.

Acute disease is the most common form of heartwater in domesticated ruminants, and is seen in both non-native and indigenous cattle, sheep and goats. Animals with the acute form of heartwater usually die within a week with few signs except sudden fever.

- Disease begins with pyrexia, which may exceed 41°C within 1–2 days after onset; remains high for 4–5 weeks with small fluctuations and drops shortly before death
- Fever is followed by inappetence, sometimes listlessness, diarrhoea (particularly in cattle), and dyspnoea indicative of lung oedema
- Nervous signs develop gradually, and are generally less pronounced in sheep and goats than cattle
    - animal is restless, walks in circles, makes sucking movements and stands rigidly with tremors of the superficial muscles
    - cattle may push their heads against a wall or present aggressive or anxious behaviour
- In terminal stage the animal falls to the ground into lateral recumbency, paddling and exhibiting opisthotonos, nystagmus, hyperaesthesia, chewing movements, and frothing at the mouth
    - animal usually dies during or following such an attack

On rare occasions, HW occurs as a **subacute disease** with prolonged fever, coughing and mild incoordination. Central nervous system signs are inconsistent in this form. The animal either recovers or dies within 1 to 2 weeks. **Mild or subclinical infections** may be seen in young calves, lambs or kids; partially immune livestock; some indigenous breeds; and some wild ruminants

- The only sign may be a transient fever
- Morbidity is highly variable and depends on the degree of tick infestation, previous exposure to infected ticks, and level of acaricide protection.

- Once signs of the disease have developed, the prognosis is poor for non-native and exotic sheep, goats, and cattle
- The mortality rate in non-native breeds of sheep and goats may be 80% or higher, in contrast to 6% in native breeds; In cattle, mortality of 60–80% is not uncommon
- Recovery from heartwater infection usually results in complete immunity against homologous strains, although animals remain carriers of infection

Lesions

- The gross lesions in cattle, sheep, and goats are very similar. Heartwater derives its name from one of the prominent lesions observed in the disease – hydropericardium. The most common macroscopic lesions besides hydropericardium are hydrothorax, pulmonary oedema, intestinal congestion, oedema of the mediastinal and bronchial lymph nodes, petechiae on the epicardium and endocardium, congestion of the brain, and moderate splenomegaly
- Accumulation of straw-coloured to reddish fluid in the pericardium is more consistently observed in sheep and goats than in cattle
- Hydropericardium, hydrothorax, ascites (mild), mediastinal oedema, and pulmonary oedema are common and result from increased vascular permeability
- Oedema of the mediastinal and bronchial lymph nodes may occur
- Froth in the trachea is often seen, reflecting terminal dyspnoea due to pulmonary oedema
- Subendocardial petechial haemorrhages are usually present
- Submucosal and subserosal haemorrhages may occur elsewhere in the body
- *Ehrlichia ruminantium* multiplies in vascular endothelial cells throughout the body to cause severe vascular compromise. It usually occurs in clumps of from less than five to several thousand organisms within the cytoplasm of infected capillary endothelial cells, and can be detected in brain smears by light microscopyGross brain lesions are usually absent except for subtle swelling of the brain, which may result in conal herniation
- Nephritis of varying degree, especially in Angora goats
- Congestion and/or oedema of the abomasal folds are a regular finding in cattle, but less so in sheep and goats

Differential diagnosis

- The peracute form of heartwater can be confused with anthrax
- The acute form may resemble rabies, tetanus, bacterial meningitis or encephalitis, babesiosis, anaplasmosis, cerebral trypanosomiasis, or theileriosis
- HW must also be differentiated from poisoning with strychnine, lead, ionophores and other myocardial toxins, organophosphates, arsenic, chlorinated hydrocarbons, or some poisonous plants
- Accumulations of fluid similar to heartwater are also sometimes seen in heavy helminth infestations (haemonchosis)

Laboratory diagnosis

Samples

- Because of its fragility, the organism must be stored in dry ice or liquid nitrogen to preserve its infectivity
- Infective stabilates can be cryopreserved in DMSO (dimethyl sulphide) or in sucrose-potassium phosphate-glutamate medium (SPG); infective half-life of thawed stabilate kept on ice is only 20–30 minutes
- Brain (cerebrum, cerebellum or hippocampus): Heartwater is often diagnosed in brain samples at necropsy
    - best samples to collect are well vascularised portions of the brain such as the cerebrum, cerebellum or hippocampus
    - brain tissue can be collected at necropsy by driving a large nail through the unopened skull, and aspirating a sample with a syringe
    - another technique is to cut off the head and collect tissue through the foramen magnum with a curette
    - *Ehrlichia ruminantium* colonies can be found for up to 2 days in brains stored at room temperature, and for up to 34 days in refrigerated brains
    - *Ehrlichia ruminantium* can also be found in smears made from the intimae of large blood vessels
    - Molecular diagnosis (nested or real-time polymerase chain reaction [PCR]) can be used for confirmation of *E. ruminantium* from brain samples stored at –20°C of preserved in 70° ethanol at room temperature

- Lung, kidney, spleen and heart tissues stored at –20°C or preserved in 70% ethanol at room temperature can be used for molecular diagnosis
- Whole blood in anticoagulant: in clinically ill animals, blood samples should be collected for molecular diagnosis; blood samples should be stored at –20°C or preserved in 70% ethanol at room temperature
- For *in-vitro* cell culture, blood is collected into an anticoagulant (heparin or sodium citrate, not ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid) and diluted in culture medium: details are available in the OIE *Terrestrial Manual;* samples should be kept refrigerated and shipped with ice packs
- Serum: may be collected for serology, but potential cross-reactions with other *Ehrlichia* species
- *Amblyomma* ticks (adults and nymphs) if present should be placed in clean tubes either containing 70% alcohol for detection of *E. ruminantium* DNA sequences or in ventilated-top tubes to preserve the ticks' viability for xenodiagnosis

Procedures

Identification of the agent

HW is often diagnosed by observing *E. ruminantium* colonies in the brain or intima of blood vessels after staining with eosin and methylene blue or Giemsa. However, this method is strongly hand-user dependent and relies on the quality of the staining

- brain smears are air dried, fixed with methanol and stained with eosin and methylene blue or Giemsa
- *Ehrlichia ruminantium* occurs as clumps of reddish-purple to blue, coccoid to pleomorphic organisms in the cytoplasm of capillary endothelial cells; organisms are often found close to the nucleus, and may be in a ring or horseshoe
- colonies can be difficult or impossible to find in some animals that have been treated with antibiotics; only few colonies may be found in animals with peracute disease
    - number and morphology of colonies in brains smears may also vary between strains with very rare colonies even with some very virulent strains
    - colonies are still visible 2 days after death in a brain that has been stored at room temperature (20–25°C) and up to 34 days in a brain that has been stored in a refrigerator at 4°C

Isolation of the agent in in-vivo *and* in-vitro *cultures*

- *Ehrlichia ruminantium* can be isolated from the blood of febrile animals using ruminant primary endothelial cell culture for further strain characterisation but this isolation method is not recommended for diagnosis as cell culture as it is time-consuming and labour intensive. The first plaques generally appear after about 2 weeks. Passaging on uninfected cell monolayers is performed when the lysis reaches 80% of the cell layer. The remaining cells are stained with eosin and methylene blue or Giemsa; the colonies are then observed by microscopic examination.

Molecular methods

- Nested and real-time PCRs targeting *E. ruminantium*-specific genes are currently available for the detection of DNA probes, and more sensitive PCR techniques are available to reveal the presence of *E. ruminantium* in the blood of animals with clinical signs and in organs from dead animals, confirming clinical cases of HW, but also in the tick vectors. These methods are very sensitive and specific. When available, real-time PCR offers the advantage of being less time consuming and avoiding cross contamination.
    - Nested and real-time PCR can detect the agent in the blood from just before the onset of fever to a few days after recovery, but detection in carrier animals is inconsistent
    - Both methods can also be attempted on other target organs, such as brain, lungs, kidneys, and thoracic fluids
    - Apart from diagnosis, molecular methods are widely used for research on the *E. ruminantium* genome and for epidemiological molecular studies

Serological tests

- Serology has very limited diagnostic use as clinically infected animals remain seronegative during the febrile reaction and seroconvert after they recover from the infection
- Serological tests available include indirect fluorescent antibody tests, enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and Western blot; however, when the whole *E. ruminantium* is used as antigen, cross-reactions with *Ehrlichia* spp. occur in all of these tests
    - Serology has limited diagnostic applications
- One ELISA uses a recombinant antigen expressed as a partial fragment of the recombinant major antigenic protein 1 (MAP1) antigens – the MAP1-B ELISA

    - this ELISA has dramatic improvement in specificity compared with previous tests; although more specific, it still detects cross-reacting antibodies to other *Ehrlichia* organisms (*E. canis*, *E. chaffeensis*, *E. ewingii* and agents which are yet to be fully characterised)
    - MAP1-B ELISA has made the interpretation of serological results more reliable in regions where *Ehrlichia* infections occur in ruminants; can help to monitor experimental infections and to measure the immune response of immunised animals, whose pre-immunisation serological history is known
- Definitive proof of heartwater must rely on epidemiological evidence and additional molecular testing
- Serology is also not an effective import test
    - prior to importation of animals from a heartwater endemic region, it is important to study the epidemiological data to try to establish that the herd and the resident ticks are not infected
    - in addition repeated molecular diagnostic testing should be carried out to demonstrate that the pathogenic agent is not present in the herd
- Serological diagnosis of heartwater is subjective and should be used only as a tool of investigation rather than for definitive diagnosis
- Definitive diagnosis should be by demonstration of the organism on a smear, or by the detection of DNA after nested or real time PCR.

For more detailed information regarding laboratory diagnostic methodologies, please refer to Chapter 3.1.9 Heartwater in the latest edition of the OIE *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals* under the heading "Diagnostic Techniques".

PREVENTION AND CONTROL

- As *E. ruminantium* cannot survive outside a living host for more than a few hours at room temperature, heartwater is usually introduced into free areas by infected animals, including subclinical carriers, or by ticks
- In heartwater-free countries, susceptible ruminants from endemic regions need to be tested before importation (see previous section)
- All animals that may carry *Amblyomma*, including non-ruminant species, must be inspected for ticks before entry into heartwater-free areas
- Ticks may be carried into a country on illegally imported animals or migrating birds
- Outbreaks are usually controlled with quarantines, euthanasia of infected animals and tick control
- During an outbreak, ticks should not be allowed to feed on infected animals
- Iatrogenic transfer of blood between animals must also be avoided
- In endemic regions, heartwater can be prevented by tick control and 'vaccination' (see 'infection and treatment method below)
- Animals moved into endemic areas may be protected by prophylactic treatment with tetracycline
- Intensive tick control may increase the susceptibility of animals to heartwater, because it eliminates the immune boosting effect of persistent exposure to small doses of organisms
- In endemic areas, animals with heartwater can be treated with antibiotics
- Tetracycline (oxytetracycline at 10 mg/kg or doxycycline at 2 mg/kg) is effective during the early, febrile stages of this disease, but animals often die before treatment can be administered
    - antibiotic treatment alone is not always successful in later stages
- Vector control measures aimed at eradication of *Amblyomma* ticks by acaricide treatment of cattle and small ruminants has been successful in the context of small islands in the Caribbean but is not achievable in most situations and even not recommended
- In endemic areas of Africa, tick levels are now allowed to remain at levels high enough to permit reinfection of immune animals to boost the immunity and develop endemic stability

Medical prophylaxis

- No commercial vaccines are available at present
- The only method of immunisation commercially available against heartwater remains the 'infection and treatment' method using infected blood followed by treatment of reacting animals with tetracycline; this method still in use in several areas, but it is likely to be replaced by preparations using attenuated or inactivated organisms, which have given promising research results
    - 'vaccination' currently consists of infection with a live *E. ruminantium* strain, then treatment with antibiotics when a fever develops, but this method has several drawbacks such as cold chain constraints and intravenous inoculation with daily follow-up of temperature
    - alternatively, the vaccine may be given to young kids or lambs during their first week of life, or to calves less than 5 to 8 weeks of age; young animals possess a degree of non-specific resistance to infection, and do not always require treatment

    - this form of vaccination does not protect animals from all field strains, and revaccination is risky due to the possibility of anaphylactic reactions
- Field trials have revealed that antigenic diversity is important in formulating effective vaccines, and further investigations are critical for the delivery of any vaccine in the field

Inactivated vaccines

- Inactivated vaccine based on *E. ruminantium* elementary bodies chemically inactivated or lysed, emulsified in oil adjuvant, conferred good protection against homologous and field challenges. However, it does not prevent vaccinated animals from developing clinical signs, and morbidity is observed after virulent challenge.
- The development of a large-scale production process and optimisation of storage conditions for the inactivated vaccine has led to a decrease in the cost of a vaccinal dose. A ready-to-use inactivated vaccine has been developed and has been shown to be robust under field conditions: after breaking the cold-chain of 3 days at 37°C, mimicking field conditions, the vaccine was still efficient.
    - the advantage is that several field strains can be incorporated to make the vaccine more widely cross-protective
- The characterisation of the extent of strain diversity in a region and at the global scale has been undertaken showing a high genetic and antigenic diversity. The isolation of field strains so as to further characterise them and produce regionally efficient vaccines remains essential
- A major challenge remains the identification of *E. ruminantium* genetic markers associated with protection in order to identify the vaccinal strains to include in the inactivated vaccine adapted to a region

Live attenuated vaccines

- Isolates of attenuated virulence that do not necessitate treatment of animals would be ideal but a limited number of such attenuated isolates are available
- An attenuated Senegal isolate has been obtained and shown to confer 100% protection against a homologous lethal challenge, but very poor protection against a heterologous challenge
- The Gardel isolate, which gives a significant level of cross-protection with several isolates (although far from complete), has also been attenuated
- a third isolate Welgevonden from South Africa has been attenuated and shown to confer complete protection against four heterologous isolates under experimental conditions
- The main drawback of attenuated vaccines is their extreme labiality, which necessitates their storage in liquid nitrogen and their distribution in frozen conditions; in addition, they have to be administered intravenously

Recombinant vaccines

- Several reports show partial protection of mice using map1 DNA vaccination and an improvement of protection by vaccination following a prime (plasmid) – boost (recombinant MAP1) protocol. However, protection of ruminants has never been demonstrated using this strategy
- In opposition, significant protection of sheep was reported against homologous and heterologous experimental challenge following plasmid vaccination using a cocktail of four ORFs (open reading frames) from the 1H12 locus in the *E. ruminantium* genome; no further results have been described since then
- Recombinant vaccines will probably not be available for field use

For more detailed information regarding vaccines, please refer to Chapter 3.1.9 Heartwater in the latest edition of the OIE *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals* under the heading "Requirements for Vaccines".

For more detailed information regarding safe international trade in terrestrial animals and their products, please refer to the latest edition of the OIE *Terrestrial Animal Health Code*.

REFERENCES AND OTHER INFORMATION

- Brown C. & Torres A. Eds. (2008). – USAHA Foreign Animal Diseases, Seventh Edition. Committee of Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the US Animal Health Association. Boca Publications Group, Inc.
- Cangi N., Gordon J.L., Bournez L., Pinarello V., Aprelon R., Huber K., Lefrançois T., Neves L., Meyer D.F. & Vachiéry N. (2016). – Recombination is a Major Driving Force of Genetic Diversity in the Anaplasmataceae *Ehrlichia ruminantium*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.,* **6**, 111. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00111.

- Coetzer J.A.W. & Tustin R.C., Eds. (2004). – Infectious Diseases of Livestock, 2nd Edition. Cape Town, South Africa: Oxford University Press Southern Africa.
- Stachurski F., Konkobo M., Zoungrana S., Meyer D.F., Pinarello V., Aprelon R., Marcelino I., Alves P.M., Martinez D., Lefrancois T. &, Vachiéry N. (2010) Efficiency of inactivated vaccines against heartwater in Burkina Faso: Impact of *Ehrlichia ruminantium* genetic diversity, *Vaccine*, **28**, 4573–4580
- Kahn C.M., Ed. (2005). – Merck Veterinary Manual, 9th Edition. Merck & Co. Inc. and Merial Ltd. Whitehouse. Station, NJ: Merck.
- Marcelino I., Lefrançois T., Martinez D., Giraud-Girard K., Aprelon R., Mandonnet N., Gaucheron J., Bertrand F. & Vachiéry N. (2015). – A user-friendly and scalable process to prepare a ready-to-use inactivated vaccine: the example of heartwater in ruminants under tropical conditions. *Vaccine*, **33**, 678–685. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.11.059
- Marcelino I., Vachiéry N., Amaral A.I., Roldão A., Lefrançois T., Carrondo M.J., Alves P.M. & Martinez D. (2007). – Effect of the purification process and the storage conditions on the efficacy of an inactivated vaccine against heartwater. *Vaccine*, **25**, 4903–4913. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.055
- Spickler A.R. & Roth J.A. (2009). – Technical Fact Sheets. Website accessed in 2009. Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine - http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/factsheets.htm
- World Organisation for Animal Health (2008). – Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. OIE, Paris.
- World Organisation for Animal Health (2020). – Online World Animal Health Information Database (WAHID). Website accessed in 2009. http://www.oie.int/wahis/public.php?page=home
- World Organisation for Animal Health (2019). – Terrestrial Animal Health Code. OIE, Paris.

*

* *

The OIE will periodically update the OIE Technical Disease Cards. Please send relevant new references and proposed modifications to the OIE Science Department (scientific.dept@oie.int). Last updated January 2021.